

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga

Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552

ABP No. 556/2026

(Arising out of Bahadurpur P.S. Case No. 430/25)

Mahesh Yadav Versus the State of Bihar

Mahesh Yadav, Aged about 30 years, S/o Satrudhan Yadav, R/o Village - Mustufapur,
P.S. - Bishanpur, District – Darbhanga

----- Petitioner

versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Petitioner(s) : Sri Fulendra Sah, Learned Advocate.

Counsel for the State : Sri A. N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

24.04.2026

1. The petitioner above named sought anticipatory bail in connection with Bahadurpur P.S. Case No 430/25 registered for the offences under Section 309(6), 103(1), 61(2) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita and 27 of Arms Act (hereinafter referred to as 'BNS' for short).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that he was innocent and had not committed any offence. It was further submitted that petitioner had not filed bail petition of any sort earlier this court or either before any superior court. It was also submitted that the petitioner had seven criminal antecedents. It was further submitted that the petitioner knew nothing about Raushan Thakur. It was further alleged that there is no any looted articles were recovered from the possession of the petitioner. It was further submitted that on the alleged date and time of occurrence the petitioner was doing work as Raj Mistri at Kadirabad Bus Stand. It was submitted that the petitioner was ready to abide by the condition(s) imposed upon him by the learned court. The learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.
4. Heard both the sides, perused the case record and the case diary.
5. The prosecution case according to FIR in brief is that the informant's son was shot down by the unknown criminals in course of loot near Holy Mary School. Informant's son died.
6. The petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement of the co-accused Raushan Thakur. The matter is still under investigation. The petitioner has been accused in six other cases involving offences of grave nature.
7. Taking into account, facts, circumstances and nature of allegation, I do not find it a fit case to grant him the privilege of anticipatory bail. In the result, the instant

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga

Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552

ABP No. 556/2026

(Arising out of Bahadurpur P.S. Case No. 430/25)

Mahesh Yadav Versus the State of Bihar

anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Mahesh Yadav** stands rejected.

8. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.
9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jurisdictional Court.
10. Let this case record be deposited in the Record Room, Civil Court, Darbhanga after all due diligence.

(Neeraj Kumar - II)
District & Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Darbhang
24.04.2026

Date of Order	24.04.2026
Date of Reserving Order	24.04.2026
Uploading Date	25.04.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (Stenographer)