

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga

Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552

ABP No. 482/2026

(Arising out of Mahila P.S. Case No. 178/2025)

Rahul Kumar Versus the State of Bihar

Rahul Kumar, Aged about 35 years, S/o Ajay Jha, R/o Mohalla - Benta, Ward No. 35,
P.S. - Benta, District - Darbhanga

----- Petitioner

versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Petitioner(s) : Sri Shrawan Kumar, Learned Advocate.

Counsel for the State : Sri A. N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.

Counsel for the Informant : Upendra Narayan Prasad, Learned Advocate.

ORDER

19.05.2026

1. The petitioner above named sought anticipatory bail in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No 178 of 2025 registered for the offences under Section 85, 115(2), 126(2), 109, 303(2), 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act (hereinafter referred to as 'BNS' & 'DP' Act for short).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that he was innocent and had not committed any offence. It was further submitted that petitioner had not filed bail petition of any sort earlier this court or either before any superior court. It was further submitted that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. It was also submitted that the petitioner has no manner of concern with the alleged occurrence. It was further submitted that no such occurrence took place as alleged in the FIR. It was further submitted that all the allegations levelled against the petitioner are false, baseless and concocted. It was also submitted that all the allegations are general and omnibus and not any specific allegations against this petitioner. It was also submitted that the wife of the petitioner Sweety Kumari added some intoxicants in the food of her husband and due to this the petitioner's health is deteriorated day by day. It was submitted that the petitioner was ready to abide by the condition(s) imposed upon him by the learned court. The learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor assisted by the learned counsel for the Informant opposed the prayer of regular bail.
4. Heard both the sides, perused the case record and the case diary.
5. The allegation against the petitioner is that he used to assault the informant for the last five years and demanded Rs. 5 lakh in dowry. When the informant shows her inability, the petitioner assaulted her with a sharp edged dab on her head. Her mobile phone, cash Rs. 50,000/- and golden jewellery was also snatched the informant went to Mahila police station which referred her to hospital for treatment.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga

Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552

ABP No. 482/2026

(Arising out of Mahila P.S. Case No. 178/2025)

Rahul Kumar Versus the State of Bihar

6. The informant reiterated her allegation against the petitioner in her restatement. In Para 14 of the case diary showed that the snatched mobile was restored by the police to the informant on 17.12.2025 on jimmanama. The injury report of the injured informant shows the injury over her left fronto-parietal bone.
7. Though the petitioner has no criminal antecedent but taking into account the specific nature of allegation, the injury over the informant's head and the factum of restoration of snatched mobile, I do not find it a fit case to grant him the privilege of anticipatory bail. In the result, the instant anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Rahul Kumar** stands **rejected**.
8. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.
9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jurisdictional Court.
10. Let this case record be deposited in the Record Room, Civil Court, Darbhanga after all due diligence.

(Neeraj Kumar - II)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga
19.05.2026

Date of Order	19.05.2026
Date of Reserving Order	19.05.2026
Uploading Date	19.05.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (Stenographer)