

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-I, Darbhanga, Bihar.
BP No.- 230 of 2026

Ref :- Arising out of L.N.M.U. PS Case No.- 37 of 2026, Dated- 08.02.2026,
U/s- 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 119(1), 119(2), 109, 121(2),
132, 324(4), 326(g), 352, 351(2) of the BNS & $\frac{3}{4}$ of DP Act.

In the matter of :-

1. Rahul Sahni, Aged about 25 years, S/o Umesh Chandra Sahni, R/o Village-Sundarpur Sahni Tola,
 2. Vikash Sahni, Aged about 26 years, S/o Vishnu Sahni, R/o Village- Sundarpur Bela,
- Both are from P.S.- LNMU, District- Darbhanga

----- **Petitioners**

Versus.

The State of Bihar

----- **Opposite Party**

Learned counsel for the petitioners : Sri Shobhit Kumar, Advocate.

Learned counsel for Opp.Party : Sri Amrendra Narayan Jha, P.P.

Date of order:- 01.04.2026

ORDER

1. The petitioners are praying for regular bail in connection with L.N.M.U. PS Case No.- 37 of 2026, Dated- 08.02.2026, U/s- 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 119(1), 119(2), 109, 121(2), 132, 324(4), 326(g), 352, 351(2) of the BNS & $\frac{3}{4}$ of DP Act is pending in the Court of Ld CJM, Darbhanga. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 09.02.2026.
2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the police apprehended one accused, namely Vikas Mahto, in connection with University P.S. Case No. 35 of 2026 dated 08.02.2026 under Sections 65(2), 103(1), 238 of the BNS and Section 6 of the POCSO Act. It is alleged that, in protest against the alleged rape and murder of a minor girl, a large number of persons assembled on the road and blocked traffic. The police officials reached the spot and attempted to pacify the protestors; however, the protestors allegedly demanded that the accused be handed over to them. Upon refusal, the crowd became violent, obstructed public servants in discharge of their official duties, committed mischief at the house of the accused, and attacked police personnel, resulting in injuries to some officials. The present petitioners have been named in the FIR on the basis of video footage

and local intelligence.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the petitioners have clean antecedents and their names have been included merely on suspicion. It is also contended that no specific overt act has been attributed to either of the petitioners.
4. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer for bail.
5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the absence of any specific overt act attributed to the petitioners and their period of custody, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.
6. Accordingly, both the petitioners, namely Rahul Sahni and Vikash Sahni, are directed to be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned, subject to the condition that they shall not indulge in any offence of similar nature in future.

(Dictated)

**District & Addl Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga.
01.04.2026**

Date of Order	01.04.2026
Date of Reserving Order	01.04.2026
Uploading Date	04.04.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (Stenographer)