

In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-I, Darbhanga, Bihar.
ABP No.- 401 of 2026

Ref :- Arising out of Bhalpatti PS Case No.- 96/25, Dated- 27.11.2025, U/s- 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 324(4), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of the BNS & 27 of Arms Act

In the matter of :-

Md. Raja, Aged about 61 years S/o Late Md. Taiyab, R/o Village- Muriya, P.S.- Bhalpatti, District- Darbhanga

----- **Petitioner**

Versus.

The State of Bihar

----- **Opposite Party**

Learned counsel for the petitioner : Md. Islam, Advocate.

Learned counsel for Opp.Party : Sri Amrendra Narayan Jha, P.P.

Date of order:- 24.03.2026

ORDER

1. The petitioner above named seeks pre-arrest bail in connection with Bhalpatti PS Case No.- 96/25, Dated- 27.11.2025, U/s- 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 324(4), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of the BNS & 27 of Arms Act is pending in the court of Ld CJM, Darbhanga.
2. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant runs an institute namely *Radiant Institute of Fire and Safety*. On 27.11.2025 at about 2:30 PM, the peon of the informant informed him that some known criminals, including the present petitioner, along with 25–30 unknown persons, arrived at the institute with two JCB machines. It is alleged that the accused persons were armed with pistol, sword, and lathis, and that the present petitioner along with others fired several rounds from a pistol.
3. It is further alleged that the informant reached the institute in his Kia car bearing registration no. BR-06 EA-1555, but had to escape due to the situation and went to P.S. Bhalpatti. Thereafter, the informant, along with the police, returned to the place of occurrence and found that the institute building

had been demolished by JCB machines and articles kept therein, including cash and electronic items, had been looted. It is alleged that laptops, computers, Rs. 15,000/- in cash, CCTV cameras, LCD, and DVR were taken away by the accused persons. The students present at the institute also supported the allegation of demolition and looting.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner has only one criminal antecedent. It is contended that no such occurrence as alleged took place and that there is no specific overt act attributed to the petitioner in the FIR. It is also argued that the present case has been lodged as a counterblast to Bhalpatti P.S. Case No. 97/25.
5. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail and submitted that the witnesses have supported the prosecution case. It is further submitted that pellets were recovered from the place of occurrence as well as from the Kia car of the informant near the black glass portion. The JCB machine used in the demolition has also been seized by the police. It is further pointed out that the injury report of the informant indicates a lacerated wound on the occipital region, thereby substantiating the allegations.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations, the manner in which the occurrence is alleged to have been committed, the recovery of pellets, seizure of the JCB machine, and the criminal antecedent of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant

the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Dictated)

**District & Addl Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga.
24.03.2026**

| | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| Date of Order | 24.03.2026 |
| Date of Reserving Order | 24.03.2026 |
| Uploading Date | 26.03.2026 |
| Uploaded by | Pushkar (Stenographer) |