

**In the Court of District & Additional Sessions Judge-I, Darbhanga, Bihar.**  
**BP No.- 225 of 2026**

**Ref :-** Arising out of Jalley PS Case No.- 109 of 2017, Dated- 11.07.2017, U/s-  
341, 323, 504, 498(A), 363, 366(A), 34 of the IPC

**In the matter of :-**

Md. Islam, Aged about 33 years, S/o Md. Zaheer, R/o Village- Shahpur, P.S.-  
Katra, District- Muzaffarpur.

----- **Petitioner**

**Versus.**

The State of Bihar ----- **Opposite Party**

Learned counsel for the petitioner : Sri Amit Ram, Advocate.

Learned counsel for Opp.Party : Sri Amrendra Narayan Jha, P.P.

**Date of order:- 10.03.2026**

**ORDER**

1. The petitioner is praying for regular bail in connection with Jalley PS Case No.- 109 of 2017, Dated- 11.07.2017, U/s- 341, 323, 504, 498(A), 363, 366(A), 34 of the IPC is pending in the Court of Ld ACJM-I, Darbhanga. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 26.02.2026.
2. The brief prosecution case, as alleged in the FIR, is that the petitioner took away the younger daughter of the informant on the pretext of taking her to serve his sister Nazni Khatoon, who was allegedly ill.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. It is further submitted that the petitioner was earlier granted bail and he never intentionally misused the privilege of bail. It is further contended that the petitioner had gone to Delhi to earn his livelihood and had entrusted the conduct of his case to his Karpardar, who later neglected the pairvi of the petitioner. As a consequence, the bail bond of the petitioner was cancelled on 20.05.2023.
4. It is further submitted that after coming to know about the said order, the petitioner immediately appeared before the learned court concerned and voluntarily surrendered, but his prayer for bail was rejected and since then he has been in custody from 26.02.2026. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has already suffered sufficient hardship and undertakes that he will not repeat such

mistake in future, therefore he may be enlarged on bail.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer for bail.
6. Upon perusal of the record, it appears that the petitioner was earlier granted bail, and his bail bond was accepted on 14.02.2020. It further appears that cognizance in the case was taken on 08.02.2021, and thereafter the bail bond of the petitioner was cancelled on 20.05.2023. A perusal of the record also indicates that after taking cognizance, no summons were issued against the petitioner, although the order sheet dated 08.02.2021 shows that the office of the learned court concerned had been directed to issue summons for his appearance.
7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing a bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, subject to the condition that the petitioner shall remain physically present before the trial court on each date till framing of charge.

**(Dictated)**

**District & Addl Sessions Judge-I  
Darbhanga.  
10.03.2026**

<b>Date of Order</b>	10-03-2026
<b>Date of Reserving Order</b>	
<b>Uploading Date</b>	13-03-2026
<b>Uploaded by</b>	Ratnesh Kumar (DEO)