

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga

Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552

ABP No. 394/2026

(Arising out of Simri P.S. Case No. 42/2020)

Raghunath Ram Versus the State of Bihar

Raghunath Ram, Aged about 34 years, S/o Chuni Ram, R/o Village - Sarwara, P.S. - Simri, District - Darbhanga

----- Petitioner

versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Petitioner(s) : Sri Rajendra Kumar, Learned Advocate.

Counsel for the State : Sri A. N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

21.05.2026

1. The petitioner above named sought anticipatory bail in connection with Simri P.S. Case No 42/2020 registered for the offences under Section 341, 323, 498 A, 494, 34 of the Indian Penal Code (hereinafter referred to as 'IPC' for short).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that he was innocent and had not committed any offence. It was further submitted that petitioner had not filed bail petition of any sort earlier this court or either before any superior court. It was further stated that there is no criminal antecedent against this petitioner. It was also submitted that the present case is totally false, baseless and concocted. It was also submitted that the informant has filed this case due to ulterior motive. It was further submitted that no such occurrence as alleged in the FIR was ever take place. It was also submitted that the petitioner keeps the informant as wife with full dignity. It was also submitted that the petitioner is a law abiding person and belongs to a very respectable family and no chance of his absconding if bail was granted. It was submitted that the petitioner was ready to abide by the condition(s) imposed upon him by the learned court. The learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.
4. Heard both the sides, perused the case record and the case diary.
5. The allegation against the petitioner is that he along with other accused persons harassed the informant for dowry. It is alleged that for two and half years the informant left her maika. When she went to her sasural she was assaulted and her jewellery was snatched. It is alleged that the petitioner married twice.
6. The charge sheet has been submitted in this case for the offence u/s 498A of IPC inter alia and other offences but the alleged second wife of the petitioner found unverified. No date or the alleged amount of demand was mentioned in the FIR or in the statement of the witnesses. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent. No custodial interrogation is required in this case.

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga

Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552

ABP No. 394/2026

(Arising out of Simri P.S. Case No. 42/2020)

Raghunath Ram Versus the State of Bihar

7. In the facts and circumstances as discussed above, the instant anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Raghunath Ram** is hereby **allowed**. In the event of arrest or surrender by the petitioner within 21 (Twenty One) days of receipt/production of this order, he is directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Learned Jurisdictional Court concerned with an undertaking as stipulated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.
8. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.
9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jurisdictional Court.
10. Let this case record be deposited in the Record Room, Civil Court, Darbhanga after all due diligence.

(Neeraj Kumar - II)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga
21.05.2026

Date of Order	21.05.2026
Date of Reserving Order	21.05.2026
Uploading Date	21.05.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (Stenographer)