

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga
Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552
ABP No. 323/2026
(Arising out of Mahila PS Case No. 105/2025)
Abhishek Kumar Ranjan Versus the State of Bihar

Abhishek Kumar Ranjan, Aged about 40 years, S/o Khatar Mandal, R/o Mohalla/Village - Parbatii, Near Kali Asthan, P.S. - University, District - Bhagalpur

----- Petitioner

versus

State of Bihar

----- Opposite Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Petitioner(s)	: Sri Ram Udit Jha, Learned Advocate.
Counsel for the State	: Sri A. N. Jha, Learned Public Prosecutor.
Counsel for the Informant	: Sri Anand Alok, Learned Advocate.

ORDER

22.07.2026

1. The petitioner above named sought anticipatory bail in connection with Mahila PS Case No. 105/2025 registered for the offences under Section 85, 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 3(5) of the Indian Penal Code and 3, 4 of DP Act. (hereinafter referred to as 'IPC' for short).
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that he was innocent and had not committed any offence. It was further submitted that petitioner had not filed bail petition of any sort earlier this court or either before any superior court. It was also submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent. It was further stated that the petitioner is falsely implicated in this case due to personal grudge and enmity. It was also submitted that no occurrence as alleged in the FIR has took place. It was further alleged that the petitioner has never demanded any dowry from the informant and also never torture the informant in any manner. It was also submitted that the petitioner filed his best for taking the informant at his house but when the informant and her family members refused for the same the petitioner filed a matrimonial case for divorce in the court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Darbhanga vide Mat. Case No. 209/2025 against the informant of the present case on 25.06.2025. It was also submitted that from the facts and circumstances no offence is made out against this petitioner. It was submitted that the petitioner was ready to abide by the condition(s) imposed upon him by the learned court. The learned counsel prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
3. Learned Public Prosecutor assisted by the learned counsel for the Informant opposed the petition and prayed to reject it.
4. Heard both the sides, perused the case record and the Trial Court Record.
5. The allegation against the petitioner is that he was married to the informant but soon after he along with other accused persons demanded cash other articles in dowry and threatened not to keep her if the demand do not met. It is alleged that the petitioner snapped her nude photographs and assaulted with rod on her hand leg and neck with his parents to the extent of near death. It is alleged that the marriage was consummated and all her jewellery cash were also taken by them.
6. There is no dispute over the fact of marriage of the petitioner with the informant. The matter was referred to mediation but it returned without any substantial result. No injury report of any kind is annexed with the case diary. Charge sheet has been submitted in this

In the Court of Additional Sessions Judge - I, Darbhanga
Present : Neeraj Kumar - II, BHJS, BR00552
ABP No. 323/2026
(Arising out of Mahila PS Case No. 105/2025)
Abhishek Kumar Ranjan Versus the State of Bihar

case by the police for offence u/s 85 of the BNS inter alia other offences. Cognizance was also taken by the learned Jurisdictional Court. No custodial interrogation is required in this case. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

7. In the facts and circumstances as discussed above, the instant anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner namely **Abhishek Kumar Ranjan** stands **allowed**. In the event of arrest or surrender by the petitioner within 21 (Twenty One) days of receipt/production of this order, he is directed to be released on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Learned Jurisdictional Court concerned with an undertaking as stipulated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.
8. The learned Jurisdictional Court is expected to explore the possibility of amicable resolution of the dispute between the parties.
9. It is made clear that this order has no bearing on the merits of the case.
10. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jurisdictional Court.
11. Let this case record be deposited in the Record Room, Civil Court, Darbhanga after all due diligence.

(Neeraj Kumar - II)
Addl. Sessions Judge-I
Darbhanga
22.07.2026

Date of Order	22.07.2026
Date of Reserving Order	22.07.2026
Uploading Date	22.07.2026
Uploaded by	Pushkar (Stenographer)