

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-II,
DARBHANGA

Criminal Revision No. 84/2022

1. Satish Yadav @ Satish Kumar s/o Ram Bilas Yadav,
2. Sanjay Yadav @ Sanjay Kumar Yadav s/o Manak Lal Yadav,
3. Krishna Kumar Yadav @ Krishna Deo Kumar Yadav s/o Ramashray Yadav, all are
resident of Village - Kayamchak, P.S. - Keoti, District - Darbhanga

----- Revisionists/petitioners

versus

1. The State of Bihar ----- Opposite 1st Party
2. Tapeswar Yadav s/o Late Kotan Yadav, resident of Village - Kyamchak, P.S. Keoti,
District - Darbhanga ----- Opposite 2nd Party
3. Birendra Yadav s/o Late Amiri Yadav,
4. Kameshwar Yadav s/o Birendra Yadav,
5. Lal Babu Yadav s/o Bilat Yadav,
6. Mukesh Kumar s/o Basawan Yadav,
7. Shyam Kishore Yadav s/o Late Ram Pukar Yadav, all are residents of Village -
Kayamchak, P.S. - Keoti, District - Darbhanga ----- Opposite Party 3rd Party

Appearance(s):

Counsel for the Revisionist(s)	: Ratan Kumar Jha, Learned Advocate.
Counsel for the State	: V. N. Singh, Addl. P.P.
Counsel for the O.P. 2nd Party	: None.

ORDER
29.05.2026

1. The present criminal revision petition has been preferred by the revisionists against the order dated 08.02.2022 passed by the court of Smt. Smita Kumari, learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Darbhanga in CRI Case No. 189/2022 arising out of Keoti P.S. Case No. 112/2021, whereby and whereunder the learned Magistrate, upon finding prima facie materials available on the record, was pleased to take cognizance of the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 325, 354(B), 504, 506, 448 of the Indian Penal Code and directed issuance of summons against the accused persons including the present revisionists.
2. Learned counsel for the revisionists/petitioners filed a petition dated 29.05.2026 wherein he submits that the revisionists/petitioners does not want to proceed further in this case and therefore, he prays to withdrawal of the same.

Page No. 2 of 2
Santosh Kumar Pandey, D&ASJ – II, Darbhanga
Criminal Revision No. 84/2022
Satish Yadav @ Satish Kumar and others versus the State of Bihar and others.
Date of Order – 29.05.2026

3. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has not opposed the prayer of revisionists/petitioners.
4. It is a settled principle of law that when an applicant seeks withdrawal of a proceeding and such prayer does not prejudice the rights of the opposite party or affect the administration of justice, the Court may permit such withdrawal in exercise of its judicial discretion. In the present case, no objection has been raised by the State and no adverse circumstance has been brought to the notice of this Court disentitling the petitioners from withdrawing the application.
5. Accordingly, considering the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer for withdrawal is allowed.
6. Hence, the present revision petition stands **dismissed as withdrawn**.
7. Let a copy of this order along with the lower court record, if any, be transmitted forthwith to the learned court concerned for information and necessary action.

(Santosh Kumar Pandey)
Additional Sessions Judge-II
Darbhanga
29.05.2026

Date of Judgement/order	29.05.2026
Date of reserving judgement/Order	29.05.2026
Uploading Date	29.05.2026
Uploaded by	Ashish Kumar, Stenographer.