

In The Court of Sessions Judge, Buxar

A.B.P. No. 288 of 2026

(Arising out of Buxar Industrial Area P.S. Case No. 36/2025)

1. Subedar Yadav @ Subedar Singh, aged about 50 years,
2. Tejnarayan Yadav @ Tejnarayan Singh, aged about 55 years, both S/o late Dharmdev Yadav,
3. Nandlesh Kumar @ Jhammu Kumar Yadav, aged about 26 years, S/o Tejnarayan Yadav,
4. Nitish Kumar Yadav, aged about 22 years,
5. Rajnish Kumar Yadav, aged about 19 years,
6. Anish Kumar Yadav, aged about 18 years, all 03 S/o Subedar Yadav
R/o Village- Chotaki Basauli, Police Station- Buxar Industrial, District-Buxar
..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

.....Respondent

Appearance:

Learned Public Prosecutor for the State: Sri Kedar Nath Tiwari.

Learned Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri Laxman Ji Rai, Ld. Adv.

Present: Kajal Jhamb,
Sessions Judge, Buxar

Date of Order: 01st April, 2026

Anticipatory Bail Petition filed on behalf of petitioners named above, who apprehend their arrest in connection with **Buxar Industrial P.S Case No. 36/2025** registered u/s 126(2), 329(3), 115(2), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of B.N.S. is put up and moved today.

Copy of bail petition has already been served to the Ld. P.P. for the prosecution.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners certifies that, no other bail petition has ever been filed on behalf of the petitioners in this matter either before this Court or before any other Superior Court. The petitioners have got two criminal antecedents. Prosecution has no objection to the submissions.

The Counsel further submits that, petitioners are quite innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioners have committed no offence. All the allegations leveled against the petitioners are false, fabricated and frivolous. Petitioners no.1, 2 and 3 were given benefit of Section 35(3) of B.N.S.S. during investigation and they never misused the same. Petitioners no.4, 5 and 6 were not even sent up for trial. After investigation the Court however took cognizance and summoned the accused

A.B.P. No. 288 of 2026
(Arising out of Buxar Industrial Area P.S. Case No. 36/2025)

Present: Kajal Jhamb, Sessions Judge, Buxar

including these petitioners. Therefore, petitioners deserve to be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

Learned P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the Bail Petition on ground of nature and seriousness of offence. He further submits that Cognizance in the case has been taken by the learned Trial Court on 20.06.2025 and the record is pending for appearance of petitioners.

Prosecution case in brief according to written petition of informant Ram Suresh Yadav is that, on 14.02.2025 at about 12.00 O'clock petitioners Subedar Yadav, Tejnarayan Yadav, Nitish Kumar Yadav, Rajnish Kumar Yadav, Anish Kumar Yadav and Nandlesh Kumar Yadav @ Jhamu Kumar Yadav came and started throwing garbage. When informant forbade them they started assaulting him and his niece. It is alleged that the petitioners Subedar Yadav and Tejnarayan Yadav assaulted informant on his right hand and mouth with lathi. When niece of the informant came in rescue, then rest of the petitioners assaulted her and dragged her by hair. Nandlesh Kumar Yadav also snatched her gold locket.

Heard learned Counsels of both side and perused the Trial Court Record. The petitioners are named in F.I.R. The Trial Court Record shows that the learned Trial Court has taken cognizance of offence u/s 126(2), 329(3), 115(2), 74, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) of B.N.S, and summoned the petitioners on 20.06.2025. Since then the record is pending for appearance of petitioners.

Thus, considering the submissions of both sides and facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to release the petitioners on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, the present anticipatory Bail Petition of petitioners named above is hereby **disposed of** with a direction to the petitioners to surrender before learned Trial Court and seek regular bail which shall be decided by the learned Trial Court in Compliance of directions given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **SATENDER KUMAR ANTIL v. CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION & ANR. (Miscellaneous Application No.1849 of 2021)[2022] 10 S.C.R. 351** without being prejudiced by this order.

Dictated
Sd/- Kajal Jhamb
Sessions Judge, Buxar
01-04-2026

Date of Order	01.04.2026
Date of uploading	02.04.2026
Uploaded by	Dinesh Kumar Verma, Stenographer