

IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BUXAR

B.P. No. 100 of 2026
(Arising out of Rajpur P.S. Case No. 15/2026)

1. Aniket Kumar, aged about 27 years, S/o Om Prakash Ram,
2. Om Prakash Ram, aged about 48 years, S/o Late Chandra Deo Ram
Both are R/o Village- Devi Dehra, Police Station- Rajpur, District- Buxar
..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar Respondent

Appearance:

Learned Public Prosecutor for the State: Sri Kedar Nath Tiwari.
Learned Counsel for the Petitioners: Sri Rakesh Kumar Rai, Ld. Adv.

Date of Order: 11th March, 2026

Present: Kajal Jhamb
Sessions Judge, Buxar

Regular Bail Petition filed on behalf of petitioners named above, who are in custody since 12.01.2026 in connection with **Rajpur P.S. Case No. 15/2026** registered u/s 109, 126(2), 74, 117(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S. is put up and moved today.

Copy of bail petition has already been served to the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned Counsel for the petitioners certifies that no other bail petition has ever been filed on behalf of the petitioners in this matter either before this Court or before any Superior Court. The petitioners have got no criminal antecedent. Prosecution has no objection to the submissions.

The Counsel further submits that, petitioners are quite innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case. Both parties are members of same family and the occurrence took place because of a land dispute. The occurrence allegedly took place on 10.01.2026 at about 07.00 P.M. whereas the F.I.R has been lodged on 11.01.2026 at about 11.05 A.M. despite the fact that the police station is just near the place of occurrence. The informant has neither disclosed the reason for the delay in lodging the case nor the reason of occurrence. The petitioners have not committed any act to attract Section 74 of B.N.S. The informant has filed a false case to save himself from case filed by mother of petitioner no. 1. The fact of the matter is that informant and his family members assaulted mother of petitioner no. 1 and wife of petitioner no. 2 regarding which wife of petitioner no. 2 filed a complaint at Rajpur P.S. Therefore, petitioners deserves to be enlarged on bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor for the State strongly opposes the Bail Petition on the ground of nature and seriousness of offence.

Prosecution case in brief according to written petition of informant Rajesh Kumar Ram is that on 10.01.2026 at about 07.00 P.M. he returned home and saw

B.P. No. 100 of 2026
(Arising out of Rajpur P.S. Case No. 15/2026)
Present: Kajal Jhamb, Sessions Judge, Buxar

that the petitioners along with co-accused Sarita Devi, Manju Kumari, Akriti Kumar were trying to molest and abuse his daughter Sakshi Divyansh. When he objected, the accused persons assaulted him and his daughter with lathi-danda resulting in causing head injuries to his daughter.

Heard learned Counsels of both sides and perused the photostat of case diary written upto para 106. Para 49 and 68 of case diary shows that informant Rajesh Kumar and injured Sakshi Divyansh have sustained simple injuries. There is no specific allegation against these petitioners. Para 33 of case diary shows that petitioners have got no criminal antecedent. Para 19 of case diary shows that, petitioners are in custody since 12.01.2026.

Thus, considering submissions of learned Counsels and the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case as well as period of detention, this Court is inclined to release the petitioners on bail. Let, the petitioners namely **Aniket Kumar and Om Prakash Ram** be released on regular bail on furnishing **bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- each with two sureties of the like amount each** to the satisfaction of Learned Trial Court.

Dictated
Sd/- Kajal Jhamb
Sessions Judge, Buxar
11.03.2026

Date of Order	11.03.2026
Date of uploading	13.03.2026
Uploaded by	Roshan Kumar, Stenographer