

# IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE, BUXAR

**B.P. No. 99 of 2026**  
(Arising out of Murar P.S. Case No. 68/2025)

Anish Kumar, aged about 22 years, S/o Vir Bahadur Singh @ Vir Bahadur Yadav  
R/o Village- Chougai, Police Station- Murar, District- Buxar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

..... Respondent

-----  
Appearance:

Learned Public Prosecutor for the State: Sri Kedar Nath Tiwari.

Learned Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri Shashi Shekhar Sharma, Ld. Adv.

-----  
Date of Order: 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2026

Present: Kajal Jhamb  
Sessions Judge, Buxar

-----  
Regular Bail Petition filed on behalf of petitioner named above, who is in custody since 07.02.2026 in connection with **Murar P.S. Case No. 68/2025** registered u/s 331(4), 305 of B.N.S. 2023 is put up and moved today.

Copy of bail petition has already been served to the Learned Public Prosecutor for the State.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner certifies that, no other bail petition has ever been filed on behalf of the petitioner in this matter either before this Court or before any Superior court. The petitioner has got criminal antecedent of three cases. Prosecution has no objection to the submissions.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that, petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. He is neither named in F.I.R nor anything has been recovered from his possession. The name of this petitioner surfaced in this case on the confessional statement of co-accused Ranjan Kumar and he surrendered before the Court on 07.02.2026 since then he is lying in custody. The alleged section carries punishment upto 7 years. The police has showed criminal antecedents vide Murar P.S. Case No. 75/25, 76/25 and 85/2025 against the petitioner but the petitioner is not an accused in all these cases. Therefore Petitioner deserves to be enlarged on bail.

Learned Public Prosecutor for the State strongly opposes the Bail Petition and submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender and have three criminal antecedent of similar nature. If he is released on bail, he may escape from process of law. Investigation against the petitioner is still pending.

Prosecution case in brief according to written petition of informant Mukesh Kumar Ram is that, he used to keep his articles in the godown of Subash Tiwari. On 21.08.2025 at about 10 pm, his sound system along with other articles were found missing from the godown. When he inquired about the same from the landlord, the landlord expressed his unawareness about the same. On 21.08.2025 at about 10.00 pm when one Jitendra Mehta went to park his E-rickshaw in the godown he heard some

**B.P. No. 99 of 2026**  
**(Arising out of Murar P.S. Case No. 68/2025)**  
**Present: Kajal Jhamb, Sessions Judge, Buxar**

noises but the landlord told him that it might be some cat and closed the gate. On next morning, when Jitendra Mehta opened the godown, he found his charger, battery and documents of E-rickshaw were scattered. For the incident the F.I.R. got registered against unknown.

Heard learned Counsel of both parties and perused the Trial Court Record. Para 34 of the case diary shows that informer informed the I.O. that, the theft in the godown of Mukesh Kumar Ram was committed by Ranjan Kumar, Rohit Kumar and Pankaj Kumar Yadav. The name of this petitioner has surfaced in present case on the confessional statement of co-accused Ranjan Kumar which is mentioned in para 45 of case diary. Para 86 of the case diary shows that the accused Ranjan Kumar is a charge-sheeted accused in this case. Para 60 of case diary shows that petitioner has got criminal antecedent of three case vide Murar P.S. Case No. 75/2025, 76/2025 and 85/2025. The regular bail of co-accused Ranjan Kumar carrying similar allegation was rejected by this Court in B.P. No. 546/2025. Para 87 of the case diary shows that investigation against this petitioner is still pending.

Considering the submission made by learned Counsels of both sides and fact and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail at this stage. Hence, the present regular bail of the petitioner named above is hereby **Rejected with liberty that petitioner may renew his prayer of bail after framing of charge.**

Dictated  
Sd/- Kajal Jhamb  
Sessions Judge, Buxar  
11.03.2026

Date of Order	11.03.2026
Date of uploading	17.03.2026
Uploaded by	Dinesh Kumar Verma, Stenographer