

**IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE CUM SPECIAL
JUDGE SCHEDULE CASTE / SCHEDULE TRIBE ACT, BHOJPUR AT ARA**

**Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-1927/2026.
(Arising out of Chauri P.S. Case No.161/2025)**

Mukesh Kumar @ Bijali Yadav, aged about 30 years, S/o Jagan Singh @ Bahadur Singh,
R/o Village- Diliya, P.S.- Chauri, District- Bhojpur.

.....Accused/Petitioner

Vs

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

ORDER

01.08.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused/petitioner namely Mukesh Kumar @ Bijali Yadav who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chauri P.S. Case No.-161/2025, registered U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (POA) Act.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence and he is falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has never applied for bail regular/anticipatory earlier either in this learned court or in the Hon'ble High Court, Patna and no bail petition of the petitioner is pending in any court. The petitioner has got one criminal antecedent vide Chauri P.S. Case No.52/2024, u/s 302, 120(B), 34 IPC, section 27 of Arms Act and section 3(2)(v) of SC/ST Act. There is no specific alleged against the petitioner from the facts and circumstances of the case. Therefore, A.B.P. of the petitioner may be allowed.

The Ld. Spl. P.P. has vehemently opposed the bail petition and says that the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail as not maintainable.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that this petitioner along with other accused persons were involved in the murder of the informant's brother and for the said incident the informant went to the court to depose as witness and thereafter, this petitioner along with other accused persons came to this house and abused and assaulted him and also threatened him for the dire consequences.

Heard both the Ld. Counsel. Perused the entire case record and case diary. Upon perusal of case record, it transpires that police registered the case U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 351(2), 352, 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (POA) Act against the petitioner and other co-accused persons. From perusal of entire case diary,

District & Additional Sessions Judge-1st.

informant and witnesses have supported the case of prosecution mentioned in para-02, 03 and 06 of the original case diary respectively. From perusal of injury report of injured/informant Ram Ayodhya Ram wherein injury shows as 1. Lacerated wound on right side of upper lip, 2. Swelling with tenderness besides left eye, and 3. Swelling with tenderness besides pelvic region.

Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances, nature of allegation, seriousness and gravity of the offence and in view of section 18 of SC/ST Act, this anticipatory bail is dismissed as not maintainable.

Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner is hereby **rejected**.

{ Dictated & Corrected by me }

Sd/-
Additional Sessions Judge-1st
Bhojpur at Ara
01.08.2026.

Memo No.

Dated:-

Copy forwarded to the court of.....,
for information and needful.

Additional Sessions Judge-Ist,
Bhojpur at Ara.