



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1607 of 2026

(Arising out of Agiaon (Garhani) P.S. Case FIR No. 34 of 2026)

Praveen Kumar @ Pappu

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
28.07.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, Praveen Kumar @ Pappu, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Agiaon (Garhani) P.S. Case FIR No. 34/2026 u/s 191(2), 191(3), 103(1), 190, 61(2) of BNS and 27 of Arms Act, put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Dev Shakti Sawarn, Learned Counsel for petitioner, Sri. Arvind Kumar Pandey on behalf of the informant and Sri. Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State. 3. On the Fardbayan of Sweta Singh, who is wife of deceased Ritesh Singh @ Bhuar Singh this FIR was lodged, informant stated that around 09:39 AM on 04.04.2026 near Primary School of village Bankat, nine FIR named accused persons namely, Jitendra Singh, Makardwaj @ Ranvir Yadav, Ankit, Aman, Nagendra @ Chotu, Sanjay, Ajay, Pappu (petitioner herein) and Anand Raj @ Gudal started indiscriminate firing on deceased Ritesh Singh @ Bhuar Singh and Ritesh Singh @ Bhuar Singh succumb to the injury on the place	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1607 of 2026

(Arising out of Agiaon (Garhani) P.S. Case FIR No. 34 of 2026)

Contd. 28.07.2026	of occurrence. It is also stated by informant that one Heera Lal Yadav and Surendra Rai along with other accused persons conspired together and eliminated deceased Ritesh Singh @ Bhuar Singh in full public view in broad day light on 04.04.2026. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioner is man of clean antecedent. It is argued that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. There is several criminal cases pending between the parties and due to previous enmity petitioner falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is young man aged about 24 years having clean antecedent and he undertakes to comply with any condition as imposed by this court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed. 5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that petitioner is FIR named accused and there is specific allegation of murder of the	
-------------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1607 of 2026

(Arising out of Agiaon (Garhani) P.S. Case FIR No. 34 of 2026)

Contd. 28.07.2026	husband of the informant against the petitioner along with other accused persons, the investigation of this case is still pending, hence, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required in this case, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. Heard rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record. In course of investigation one FIR named accused namely, Makardhwaj @ Ranveer Yadav and one other accused Manish Kumar was arrested by police and they confessed their guilt before the police and on their disclosure statement weapons of offence was recovered. There is specific allegation against the petitioner that he along with other co-accused persons made indiscriminate firing on the husband of the informant due to which he died on spot. The informant is wife of the deceased Ritesh Singh @ Bhuar and she is eye witness in this case. The informant in her restatement at para-27, witnesses at para-28, 29, 30 and 31 have fully supported the case of the prosecution. As per postmortem report three entry wound and one exit wound wound on the body of deceased and deceased died due to antemortem injuries in	
--	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1607 of 2026

(Arising out of Agiaon (Garhani) P.S. Case FIR No. 34 of 2026)

Contd. 28.07.2026	chest and brain caused by firearm. The petitioner is FIR named accused person and there is specific allegation against him also that he along with other co-accused persons made indiscriminate firing on the husband of the informant due to which he died on spot. The investigation of this case is still pending and to ascertain the truth, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is also required. 7. Considering the fact that petitioner along with other co-accused persons in pre-planned manner armed with fire arms waylaid deceased Ritesh Singh @ Bhuar Singh in full public view on busy road and made indiscriminate firing, caused several fire arm injury to the deceased due to which he died on spot, the petitioner is FIR named accused persons and investigation of this case against him is still pending, hence, I found force in the argument of Ld. P.P that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required to ascertain the truth, hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner, namely, Praveen Kumar @ Pappu is dismissed. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 28.07.2026	
--	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA
A.B.P. No. 1607 of 2026
(Arising out of Agiaon (Garhani) P.S. Case FIR No. 34 of 2026)

--	--	--