



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA

Bail Petition No. 1939 of 2026

And

Bail Petition No. 2005 of 2026

(arising out of Bihiyan P.S. Case FIR No. 172 of 2026)

Surendra Yadav

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

AND

Motilal Yadav & Ors.

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
11.06.2026	1. Both these bail petitions relate to the same Bihiyan P.S. Case FIR No. 172 of 2026, hence both are decided by this common order. 2. B.P No. 1939 of 2026 has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely; Surendra Yadav and B.P No. 2005 of 2026 has been filed on behalf of the petitioners namely; 1. Motilal Yadav, 2. Kripa Shankar Yadav, 3. Kanhaiya Yadav, 4. Sarvan Kumar, 5. Ram Bhukhan Yadav, 6. Ajit Yadav, 7. Ram Jatan Yadav and 8. Rajendra Yadav @ Nagendra Yadav, in connection with Bihiyan P.S.	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA

Bail Petition No. 1939 of 2026

And

Bail Petition No. 2005 of 2026

(arising out of Bihiyan P.S. Case FIR No. 172 of 2026)

Contd. 11.06.2026	F.I.R. case no. 172/2026 u/ss. 191(2), 192(3), 190, 125(a), 126(2), 115(2), 329(4), 109(1) of B.N.S. & 27 Arms Act. 3. Heard Ld Counsel for petitioners, Shri. Surendra Yadav in B.P. No. 1939 of 2026 and Shri. Rajesh Kumar Singh in B.P No. 2005 of 2026, and Shri Rana Pratap Singh learned P.P. for the State. 4. Informant/injured Saroj Kumar and F.I.R. named seventeen accused persons then include a woman as well are resident of same village and on the alleged day of occurrence all accused persons armed with lathi, danda reached at the resident/verandah of accused persons and started abusing and when same was opposed by informant then accused persons assaulted informant and his family members and specific allegation made against Lalu Yadav, Vikash Yadav and Dilip Yadav that they caused firearm injury to informant Saroj Yadav, Vikash Yadav and Dilip Yadav also caused injury to Priyanashu Kumar and Bagedan Yadav@
--	---



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA

Bail Petition No. 1939 of 2026

And

Bail Petition No. 2005 of 2026

(arising out of Bihiyan P.S. Case FIR No. 172 of 2026)

Contd. 11.06.2026	Dharmendra Yadav. 5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that no other bail petition, either ABP or BP has been filed or pending on behalf of the petitioners prior to the present one, in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble High Court. Ld. Counsel further submitted that all accused persons are from same village and they are non-assailant and by making exaggerated alleged against them they have been arrayed as an accused, hence, bail petition of petitioners may be allowed. 6. On the contrary, Learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners and submitted that accused persons in pre-planned manner armed with deadly weapon attacked informant and his family members and caused injury to informant and his family members, hence, bail petition of the petitioners are devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 7. I have heard rival submissions of both sides and perused the case record. It appears from perusal of
--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA

Bail Petition No. 1939 of 2026

And

Bail Petition No. 2005 of 2026

(arising out of Bihiyan P.S. Case FIR No. 172 of 2026)

Contd. 11.06.2026	record that general and omnibus allegation made against petitioners though specific allegation made against, Lalu Yadav, Vikash Yadav and Dilip Yadav, who are not petitioners herein and all petitioners herein are non-assailant and they are clean antecedent and they are in judicial custody since 24.05.2026 and they undertake to comply any condition imposed by this Court. Considering the facts discussed above, hence, bail petition of petitioner in B.P No. 1939 of 2026 namely; Surendra Yadav and in B.P No. 2005 of 2026 petitioners namely; 1. Motilal Yadav, 2. Kripa Shankar Yadav, 3. Kanhaiya Yadav, 4. Sarvan Kumar, 5. Ram Bhukhan Yadav, 6. Ajit Yadav, 7. Ram Jatan Yadav and 8. Rajendra Yadav @ Nagendra Yadav are allowed and they are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each subject to the condition that petitioners shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA

Bail Petition No. 1939 of 2026

And

Bail Petition No. 2005 of 2026

(arising out of Bihiyan P.S. Case FIR No. 172 of 2026)

Contd. 11.06.2026	which they are suspected, and the petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or before any police officer or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 11.06.2026	