



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1551/2026
(arising out of Ara Muffasil P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 163/2026)

Bhanu Pratap & Anr.

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
08.07.2026	This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners namely; 1.Bhanu Pratap Singh and 2. Suni Singh who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Ara Muffasil P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 163/2026, under Section(s) 109, 3(5) of B.N.S.& 27 of Arms Act. put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Satyendra Kumar, Learned Counsel for petitioners as well as Shri. Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state. 3. As per the case of informant Chandan Kumar Yadav is that on 18.05.2026 at about 4:30 A.M. when informant was outside of his house in the meantime F.I.R. named accused persons namely;1. Bhanu Pratap Singh and 2. Suni Singh came from Bullet motorcycle and petitioner Bhanu Pratap Singh fired in open sky and two fired upon informant but bullet passed without	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1551/2026
(arising out of Ara Muffasil P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 163/2026)

Contd. 08.07.2026	touching informant's shoulder and accused persons fled away from the place of occurrence. 4. Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either in this Session Division or before the Hon'ble High Court. Ld. Counsel further stated that informant appeared in person and stated that petitioners are co villagers and they have settled their dispute amicably, in addition to that allegation made against petitioner Bhanu Pratap Singh fired two gun shot on place of occurrence but even after lapse of about one and half month in the course of investigation neither fire arm was recovered nor any empty cartridge or live cartridge was recovered from place of occurrence. Petitioners are the men of clean antecedent and petitioners undertake to comply with any condition imposed by this court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners may be allowed. 5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and	
----------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1551/2026
(arising out of Ara Muffasil P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 163/2026)

Contd. 08.07.2026	submitted that petitioners took law in their hand by using pistol, hence, anticipatory bail petition, at this stage devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard rival submissions of both parties and perused the case record. Informant appeared in person in Court and stated that petitioners are co villagers and they have settled their dispute amicably, and have no objection, in addition to that allegation made against petitioners fired three gun shot on place of occurrence, but even after lapse of about one and half month in the course of investigation neither fire arm was recovered nor any empty cartridge or live cartridge was recovered from place of occurrence. 7. Considering the fact that petitioners are men of clean antecedent and general and omnibus allegation made against petitioners, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners namely; 1.Bhanu Pratap Singh and 2. Suni Singh are allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on	
----------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1551/2026
(arising out of Ara Muffasil P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 163/2026)

Contd. 08.07.2026	anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. with further condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 08.07.2026	