

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA
A.B.P. No. 1539/2026
(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 116/2015)

Jitendra Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
02.07.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, namely; Jitendra Singh, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 116/2015, under Sections 147, 341, 323, 353, 307, 504, 506, 332, 333, 379 of IPC., put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Shushil Kumar Singh, Learned Counsel for petitioners as well as Shri. Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state. 3. It is a case of the prosecution that when team of Electricity Department raided village Gurej, P.S.- Jagdishpur, taking action against accused persons for committing theft of electricity then FIR named accused persons assembled on the place of occurrence armed with lathi, danda and assaulted Officers of Electricity Department, hence this FIR was lodged. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that earlier petitioner preferred anticipatory bail vide A.B.P No. 216 of 2026 which was allowed	

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1539/2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 116/2015)

Contd. 02.07.2026	by this court but petitioner could not surrender within the stipulated time, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed again. 5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail petition of petitioner and submitted that anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner was already granted by this court vide A.B.P No. 216 of 2026 but petitioner could not surrender before the Ld. Trial court and avoid judicial process. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner did not deliberately avoid to surrender before the learned court below. Therefore time of surrender granted to the petitioners may be extended, hence anticipatory bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard the rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record. This is second anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner. Perused the record from the perusal of the record it transpires that by the order dated 04.02.2026 the petitioner along with eleven other co-accused persons were granted anticipatory bail in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 116 of 2015. However, it has been	
----------------------	---	--

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1539/2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 116/2015)

Contd. 02.07.2026	submitted on behalf of the petitioner by his learned counsel that petitioner could not surrender before the Ld. Trial Court within the stipulated period of time so granted to the petitioner of four weeks that surrendering before the learned court below has expired. Although due to non-appearance of the petitioner this trial is moving on snail pace and precious time of court has been wasted, hence, in the interest of justice petitioner is directed to deposit Rs.7,000/- in the bank account of Victim Compensation fund and petitioner has deposited the said amount in the bank account of Victim Compensation Fund through District Legal Services Authority, Bhojpur vide receipt No. 148 of 2026. 7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner Jitendra Singh is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) of B.N.S.S. with further condition that the petitioner shall make himself	
----------------------	---	--

COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1539/2026

(Arising out of Jagdishpur P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 116/2015)

Contd. 02.07.2026	available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 02.07.2026	