

IN THE COURT OF SRI SANGAM SINGH,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- IIIrd,
CIVIL COURT, BHOJPUR AT ARA- 802301.
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1484 of 2026
Arising out of Sahar P.S. Case No. 86 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:-

Sonu Kumar @ Atish Kumar.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Learned counsel for the State : Sri Ajay Kumar, Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor.

Learned counsel for the Petitioner : Sri Bishnudhar Pandey, Ld. Advocate.

ORDER

01.06.2026

1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused / petitioner namely **Sonu Kumar @ Atish Kumar** who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sahar P.S. Case No. 86 of 2026 registered for the alleged offence under section 126 (2), 115 (2), 109 (1), 303 (2), 351 (2), 352, 3(5) of BNS put up for hearing.
2. Heard Sri Bishnudhar Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Ajay Kumar learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on bail petition.
3. On basis of written report of informant, namely Bhairav Nath Prasad, the prosecution case in short is that, on 24.04.2026 at 11:05 am the present petitioner alongwith other co-accused persons came with arms and weapons and Kariman Singh instigated others to kill the informant and Murari Singh given blow at the head of informant by Lathi and Kariman Singh assaulted the informant by butt of country made Katta at face of informant, Sonu Kumar snatched chain of gold of informant and accused Murari Singh away mobile from one pocket of informant and accused Raju Sah took away Rs. 15,000/- from the other pocket of informant.
4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners /accused persons that earlier no bail petition either regular or anticipatory has been previously filed before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna by this petitioner in this case for his bail and the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. It has further been submitted that allegation against this petitioner is only that during occurrence, he snatched a gold chain, worth Rs. Two lac and there is no other allegation against the petitioner. He further submitted that there is no criminal antecedent of the petitioner as has been mentioned in para 3 of the bail petition. Petitioner is ready to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, so, his bail prayer may be allowed.

F.I.R. No:- Sahar P.S. Case No. 86 of 2026

Date of order:- 01.06.2026

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail petition and submitted that against this petitioner there is serious allegation, hence the anticipatory bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and the same should be dismissed.
6. I heard both the parties and perused the FIR, it transpires from perusal of record that in this case the co-accused Raju Sah, against whom there was an allegation of taking out Rs. 15,000/- from the pocket of the informant who has been granted regular bail in the context of present facts and circumstances of the case. No any description of the said gold chain or any receipt thereof showing its purchase by the informant, was given to the I.O. during investigation. In these circumstances the allegation against the petitioner prima facie appears to be ornamental and seems to have been added with a view to enhance the gravity of the case, moreover, the offence alleged against the petitioner comes under the purview of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Arnesh Kumar Vrs. State of Bihar (2014)8 SCC 273 and Satyendra Kumar Antil Vs. C.B.I. and there is no report of the Investigating Officer that he has complied with the section 35(3) of BNSS. Moreover, the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para 3 of the bail petition.
7. Considering entire facts and circumstances of the case I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to this abovenamed petitioner, accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition of the above named petitioner is hereby allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below within four weeks of this order and subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS.

Dictated and corrected by me

(Sangam Singh)
Additional Sessions Judge-IIIrd
Bhojpur at Ara