



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
B.P. No. 1702 of 2026
(Arising out of Cyber P.S Case FIR No. 18 of 2026)

Md. Aadil

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
12.06.2026	1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, Md. Aadil, who is in custody since 25.03.2026 in connection with Cyber P.S. case no. 18/2026 u/ss. 318(4), 319(2) of B.N.S & 66(E), 66(c), 66(d), 67, 67(A) of I.T Act, put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Manish Kumar, Learned Counsel for petitioner, Sri. Shivjee Singh, Ld counsel for the informant and Sri. Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state. 3. Informant Pramod Kumar Rai is an Advocate and Notary Public Practitioner at Civil Court Ara. It is alleged that accused Md. Aadil is also resident of village Daulatpur, P.S.-Ara Muffasil approach informant and stated that Neha Kumari is his sister and Mobile No. 7091863016 is of her sister and both Neha Kumari and accused Md. Aadil in pre-planned manner Honeytrap Advocate Pramod Kumar Rai from year 2023 to March 2026 constantly extorted Advocate Pramod Kumar Rai and forced him to transfer money through mobile	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 1702 of 2026

(Arising out of Cyber P.S Case FIR No. 18 of 2026)

Contd. 12.06.2026	number, bank accounts and through other digital modes. On the basis of recorded whatsapp chat, some objectionable photographs, informant give in to the threat of accused persons and transferred Rs.19,35,000/- through digital modes from year 2023 to March 2026. In this regard bank statement also filed by informant and prima facie case of Honeytrap, extortion through digital transfer is made out and same was done in pre-planned manner and accused was extorted for almost three years. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioner is man of clean antecedent. It is argued that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner also submitted that after completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed and no case of cyber fraud, extortion is made out against the petitioner. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 25.03.2026 and he undertakes to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, the bail petition of petitioner	
-------------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
B.P. No. 1702 of 2026
(Arising out of Cyber P.S Case FIR No. 18 of 2026)

Contd. 12.06.2026	may be allowed. 5. On the contrary, learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition along with Ld. Counsel for the informant and filed bank statement from year 2023 to 21.03.2026 that shows for three years accused persons Honeytrap and extorted informant and forced him to pay amount in tune of Rs. 19,35,000/-. It is also submitted by Ld. P.P. that a prima facie case of cheating in pre-planned manner is made out, petitioner has no regard for law or fear of law and extorted money from an Advocate after Honeytrap, hence, till the examination of informant as a prosecution witness, there are genuine chance that petitioner using obscene photographs and lose talks/chat on whatsapp may unduly influence witnesses and derail the trial. Hence, bail petition of the petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed at this stage. 6. I have heard the rival submission of both parties and perused the case record. Informant is practicing Advocate in Bhojpur Civil Court and in the course of arguments it has come on record that accused has introduced himself as driver of Chairperson of Jila Parishad, Ara and SDO, Sadar Ara and	
--	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 1702 of 2026

(Arising out of Cyber P.S Case FIR No. 18 of 2026)

Contd. 12.06.2026	after sometime through whatsapp introduced a girl namely Neha Kumari as his sister having mobile No. 7091863016 and in pre-planner manner in conspiracy with other accused persons Honeytrap informant through digital means and extorted informant for three years from year 2023 to March 2026 and forced him to transfer Rs.19,35,000/- through digital modes into the account of several persons and informant filed bank statement of last three years that corroborate the case of prosecution and prima facie case of cheating impersonating Honeytrap and use of digital means for capitalizing obscene talks and few photographs of the informant and on that basis informant was extorted for three years loss hard earned money in tune of Rs.19,35,000/-. 7. Considering the fact and circumstances discussed hereinabove, bail petition of the petitioner, namely, Md. Aadil is devoid of merit and same is dismissed. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge 12.06.2026	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
B.P. No. 1702 of 2026
(Arising out of Cyber P.S Case FIR No. 18 of 2026)