

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1374/2026****(arising out of Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 303/22)****Ranjit Kumar Ram****Petitioner*****versus*****State of Bihar****Opp. Party**

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
02.07.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, Ranjit Kumar Ram, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 303/2022 u/ss. 306 of I.P.C., put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Amit Kumar Singh, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State. 3. As per the case of prosecution informant Chandrama Ram has father of Sunaina Kumari who committed suicide due to cheating, fraud and enticed victim 'x' to transfer money into the account of petitioner Ranjit Kumar Ram on several occasions and promise to marry if money will be transferred into his account but later on after receiving money accused refused to solemnize marriage and victim continued talking and transferring money into the account of Ranjit Kumar Ram despite objection raised by family members of victim girl and due to this reason victim Sunaina Kumari committed suicide, hence, this FIR was lodged.	

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1374/2026****(arising out of Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 303/22)**

Contd. 02.07.2026	4. On behalf of the petitioner, it is submitted that no other bail petition has been filed or is pending either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble Court to the present one and petitioner is a man of clean antecedent. It is also submitted that petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further submitted that no case under Section 306 of I.P.C. is made out against the and petitioner has not committed any such alleged offence and has falsely implicated in this case. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners also submitted that petitioner is a young man aged about 30 years of clean antecedents and he undertakes to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner may be allowed. 5. On the contrary, Ld. P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is the sole-accused in this case and on the instigation of petitioner Sunaina Kumari committed suicide, the investigation of this case against the petitioner is still pending and there is genuine chance of either tampering with evidence or unduly influencing witnesses, hence, custodial	
------------------------------	--	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1374/2026****(arising out of Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 303/22)**

Contd. 02.07.2026	interrogation of petitioner is required in this case to decipher truth. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard the rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record minutely. This case has been lodged on 02.05.2022 and it appears from the perusal of record that petitioner Ranjit Kumar Ram promise to marriage victim girl and continuously in relation with victim Sunaina Kumari and despite objection of family members Sunaina Kumari continued in intimate relation with petitioner Ranjit Kumar Ram and even on the demand of petitioner on several occasions Sunaina Kumari give money to petitioner and as per para 71 and 83 of case diary deceased Sunaina Kumari also transferred Rs. 30,000/- in the Axis Bank Account No. 9210100063650569 on 25.02.2022 and victim Sunaina Kumari transferring money or giving money to Ranjit Kumar Ram for solemnizing marriage but finally petitioner refused to marry victim Sunaina Kumari and committed suicide. It is a case in which custodial interrogation is required for ascertaining several transactions took place between deceased Sunaina Kumari and accused Ranjit Kumar Ram. In addition to	
------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1374/2026****(arising out of Barhara P.S. Case FIR No. 303/22)**

Contd. 02.07.2026	that petitioner Ranjit Kumar Ram is abiding judicial process for last four (4) years and petitioner has derailed the trial and there are genuine chances that, if petitioner will be given anticipatory bail, then petitioner may subvert the trial and unduly influencing witnesses who are acquaintance with the facts of the case. 7. Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case discussed hereinabove and other material available on record, I found force in the argument of Ld. P.P. that to ascertain truth custodial interrogation of petitioner, namely, Ranjit Kumar Ram is required. Hence, at this stage, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner, namely, Ranjit Kumar Ram is devoid of merit and same is dismissed. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 02.07.2026	