



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1211/2026

(Arising out of Chauri PS Case No. 53/2026)

Jitesh Pandey

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
07.07.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, Jitesh Pandey, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Chauri P.S. Case No. 53 of 2026 u/ss. 331(4), 305 of BNS. put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Vijay Kumar Singh, learned counsel for petitioner as well as Shri Ganesh Tiwari,Ld. Counsel for informant and Shri Rana Pratap Singh learned P.P. for the state. 3. On basis of written complaint of informant Dhruv Narayan Pandey present FIR has been lodged as per which the prosecution case is that on 30.03.2026 there was a theft in my house. Informant live in Hyderabad with his family. The villagers informed the informant that there was theft in your house. On getting the information informant took train for his home and when informant open the lock of the house and see all his belongings were missing from the house of informant and lock of the trunk was	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1211/2026

(Arising out of Chauri PS Case No. 53/2026)

Contd. 07.07.2026	broken. A full brass utensil, golden and silver ornaments, LED T.V, water machine and Atlas Cycle are missing from the house, then villagers tell him secretly that FIR named petitioner theft these articles from the house of informant. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that no other anticipatory or regular bail was filed or pending on behalf of the petitioner for his bail in this case prior to the present one. Ld. counsel for the petitioner further submitted that petitioner is quite innocent and committed no offence. No specific allegation against the petitioner cited in the FIR. In addition to that Ld. counsel for petitioner submitted that none of the sections mentioned in the FIR is punishable more than 7 years of imprisonment and the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by Arnesh Kumar Vrs. State of Bihar (2014)8 SCC 273 and Satyendra Kumar Antil Vs. C.B.I. 2023(SC) 233. It is also submitted by the Ld. Counsel for petitioner that informant and petitioner are next door neighbour and Gotiya and petitioner is man of clean antecedent. Petitioner undertakes to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, hence, bail petition of petitioner may be	
------------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1211/2026

(Arising out of Chauri PS Case No. 53/2026)

Contd. 07.07.2026	allowed. 5. On contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that petitioner along with other co-accused person entered in the house of informant and theft golden and silver ornaments, Rs. 20,000/-, LED T.V and Cycle and in pre-planned manner petitioner has committed the offence, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard rival submissions of both sides and perused the case record. It transpires that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner and allegations mentioned in FIR are appears to be bald and exaggerated, all sections are mentioned in the FIR is punishable with less than 7 years of imprisonment and this case is squarely covered by the law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in Arnesh Kumar Vrs. State of Bihar (2014)8 SCC 273 and Satyendra Kumar Antil Vs. C.B.I. 2023(SC) 233. It is also matter of record that petitioner is a man of clean antecedent. Petitioner and informant are family members and next door neighbours and he undertakes	
------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 1211/2026

(Arising out of Chauri PS Case No. 53/2026)

Contd. 07.07.2026	to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, hence, the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner Jitesh Pandey is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender he will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge 07.07.2026	