



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

**Bail Petition No.1084/2026
(arising out of Sahar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 263 of 2025)**

Tunna Kumar @ Umesh Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
04.04.2026	Bail petition filed on behalf of accused-petitioner namely Tunna Kumar @ Umesh Singh, who is in judicial custody since 19.12.2025 in connection with Sahar P.S. F.I.R Case No.-263/2025 under Sections 103 (1), 123, 3(5) of B.N.S. put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, the learned P.P. for the state. 3. As per fardbayan of Ganesh Singh, deceased Dinesh Kumar is brother of informant and on 18.12.2025 deceased Dinesh Kumar and accused Tunna Kumar @ Umesh Singh brought Dinesh Kumar in unconscious state to his house in the presence of informant and stated that Dinesh Kumar needs medical care and both the accused persons accompanied deceased as well as his family members at the clinic of Dr. Lal Babu, Narayanpur and other accused left the clinic and on the basis of suspicion of informant petitioner has been	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

**Bail Petition No.1084/2026
(arising out of Sahar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 263 of 2025)**

Contd. 04.04.2026	implicated as an accused. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that petitioner has never applied for regular bail or anticipatory bail earlier in this Ld. Court or in the Hon'ble High Court Patna and no bail petition of the petitioner is pending in any court except B.P No. 490 of 2026 which was dismissed as withdrawn and Ld. Counsel for petitioner further submitted that after completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed. Petitioner is a man of clean antecedent and merely on the basis of suspicion arrayed as an accused. Nothing has come on record to connect accused person with alleged offence only bald allegation made by informant and other family members of deceased, hence bail petition of petitioner may be allowed. 5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that considering the gravity of offence the bail petition of the petitioner is devoid of merits and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard rival submissions of both sides and perused the case record. It is matter of	
----------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

**Bail Petition No.1084/2026
(arising out of Sahar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 263 of 2025)**

Contd. 04.04.2026	record petitioner is in judicial custody since 19.12.20025 and after completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed. It appears from the perusal of record that both the accused persons in this case including Tunna Kumar @ Umesh Singh accompanied Dinesh Kumar in unstable position in his house and informed family member including informant that Dinesh Kumar needs urgent medical care and accused Rupesh Sharma as well as Tunna Kumar @ Umesh Singh also accompanied deceased and his family members to clinic of Dr. Lal Babu at Narayanpur. Considering their conduct of accompanying deceased and his family members and it was accused who insisted for urgent medical care of deceased and even after completion of investigation nothing has come on record to connect accused person with alleged offence that it was the accused who injected deceased with some poisonous substance. It appears from post-mortem report that no external injury was found on the body of the deceased only frothing of blood from mouth and nose. Considering the fact discussed above, clean antecedent of petitioner and it appears on the	
----------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition No.1084/2026
(arising out of Sahar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 263 of 2025)

Contd. 04.04.2026	basis of suspicion petitioner has been arrayed as an accused. There is no chances of either tampering with evidence or influencing witnesses, hence, bail petition of petitioner Tunna Kumar @ Umesh Singh is allowed and he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each subject to the condition that petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected, and the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or before any police officer or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 04.04.2026	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

**Bail Petition No.1084/2026
(arising out of Sahar P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 263 of 2025)**