

IN THE COURT OF IInd ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA
Mukesh Yadav Vs. State of Bihar
A.B.P. No.-870 of 2026
Chauri P. S. Case No. 33/2026
U/ss - 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S.

Serial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

	<u>07-04-2026</u> The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of accused/ petitioner namely Mukesh Yadav apprehending his arrest in connection with the present case. The case of the prosecution, in brief is that the informant Sonu Kumar gave a written application to the officer in-charge of Chauri Police station stating therein that on 04.03.2026 at 03:00 P.M. Mukesh Yadav and Ram Babu Yadav were abusing at his shop. When he protested, both of them assaulted to him by lathi and danda due to which he received injury on the lip and he fell down. Thereafter both of them assaulted him by fists and kicks. Mahesh Yadav pointed gun at him and Ram Babu Yadav snatched locket of Bajrangbali. He somehow fled away and save his life. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent person and has committed no offence. Petitioner has been falsely implicated in this false case. No occurrence ever took place as alleged by the prosecution. Nothing has been recovered from the person or possession of the petitioner. All the sections areailable except section 303 BNS which is concocted and ornamental. The value of stolen article has not been given and locket was golden or silver, this fact is also not clear. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail. Arguments heard and record perused. From the perusal of the record, it transpires that the allegation against the petitioner is that he abused and assaulted the informant along with co-accused. The petitioner pointed gun at the informant. The witnesses have supported the prosecution case in para no. 3, 8, 9 & 10 of the case diary. It is mentioned in para no. 4 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. There is	
--	--	--

IN THE COURT OF IInd ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA
Mukesh Yadav Vs. State of Bihar
A.B.P. No.-870 of 2026
Chauri P. S. Case No. 33/2026
U/ss - 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S.

Serial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

	Conti..... 07-04-2026	nothing in the case diary to show that the informant got any treatment. Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within one month from today upon furnishing bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each subject to the satisfaction of court concerned. The anticipatory bail petition is accordingly allowed. Dictated (Sudhakar Pandey) IInd Addl. Sessions Judge Bhojpur at Ara 07.04.2026	
--	---	---	--

IN THE COURT OF IInd ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA
Mukesh Yadav Vs. State of Bihar
A.B.P. No.-870 of 2026
Chauri P. S. Case No. 33/2026
U/ss - 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S.

Serial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

--	--	--	--