

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 1078/2026****(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 128/2026)****Navratan Singh & Others****Petitioners****versus****State of Bihar****Opp. Party**

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
06.04.2026	1. Bail petition filed on behalf of accused/petitioners, namely, 1. Navratan Singh and 2. Ghanshyam Singh who are in judicial custody since 14.03.2026 in connection with Udwanthnagar P.S. Case F.I.R. No. 128/2026 under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 351(3), 191(2), 190 of B.N.S., put up for hearing. 2. Heard Md. Gulabsha Ansari, Learned Counsel for Petitioners as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State. 3. It is case of the informant Mantosh Kumar that on 12.03.2026 at 08:00 A.M morning accused Navratan Singh and his son Radheshyam Singh, Ghanshyam Singh and grand son Deepak Kumar, Viru Kumar and Shigriv Kumar armed with Bamboo Mace and iron rod barged into the house of informant and dragged informant and his grand mother namely Runi Devi and Geeta Devi out of house and Radheshyam Singh attacked with iron rod on the head of one of the injured person and Ghanshyam Singh also attacked mother of the informant namely Geeta Devi and on the extortion of Navratan Singh this	

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 1078/2026****(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 128/2026)**

Contd. 06.04.2026	occurrence took place. 4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioner Navratan Singh and Ghanshyam Singh is in judicial custody since 14.03.2026 even after lapse of about 2 weeks after filing of bail petition injury report has not come on record though case diary has been filed unsubstantiated allegation made against petitioner in which Navratan Singh is senior citizen aged about 62 years and all family members has been arrayed as an accused and two C.T Scan filed by petitioners is neither of Geeta Devi and Runi Devi who were assaulted by allegedly Ghanshyam Singh and Radheshyam Singh. Considering the facts that unsubstantiated and bald allegation made against petitioners, hence, their bail petitions may be allowed. 5. On the contrary, Learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and submitted that this case is at initial stage, hence, bail petition of the petitioners are devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard rival submission of both the parties and perused the case record. All accused persons are from same family and allegation made against all the accused persons that they mercilessly and indiscriminately beaten everyone	
------------------------------------	--	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 1078/2026****(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 128/2026)**

Contd. 06.04.2026	from the family of informant. Petitioner Navratan Singh and Ghanshyam Singh are in judicial custody since 14.03.2026 but even after lapse of more than 2 weeks till date injury report was not filed, C.T. Scan of Jira Devi and Samundra Devi is on record and same is conducted by Kalpana C.T Scan Centre, Ara and as per this C.T Scan no significant abnormality in the present scan and some swelling was seen in the soft tissue of frontal bone of Samundra Devi and Jira Devi aged about 50 years and 30 years respectively. Though as per written complaint informant has not disclosed the name of Jira Devi or Samundra Devi as an injured. Petitioner no. 1 Navratan Singh is a senior citizen aged about 62 years and Ghanshyam Singh and Radheshyam Singh are son of petitioner no. 1 Navratan Singh. In this case accused persons are co-villager and staying in same neighbourhood all family members of petitioners has been arrayed as an accused. It appears that unsubstantiated and bald allegation made against accused persons two C.T Scan of women namely Jira Devi and Samundra Devi was filed but as per Fardbayan Runi Devi and Geeta Devi is injured persons not injured Jira Devi and Samundra Devi. Hence, it appears that exaggerated and bald allegation has been made against all accused persons. 7. Considering fact and circumstances discussed	
------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 1078/2026****(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 128/2026)**

Contd. 06.04.2026	above, hence, bail petition of petitioner, namely, 1. Navratan Singh and 2. Ghanshyam Singh is allowed and they are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Court below subject to the conditions that petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which they are suspected, and that petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 06.04.2026	