



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

Bail Petition no. 960/2026

(Arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 174 of 2026)

Manjeet Yadav

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
04.04.2026	1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely Manjeet Yadav, who is in custody since 02.03.2026 in connection with Ara Nawada P.S. case no. 174/2026 u/ss 103(1), 351(3), 352, 3(5) of BNS & 27 of Arms Act. put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri. Shailendra Pratap Singh, Learned Counsel for petitioner as well as Sri. Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state. 3. It is the case of the prosecution that informant Anuradha Devi was travelling along with her son Aadarsh Kumar, who is deceased herein then due to previous dispute between deceased Aadarsh Kumar and Manjeet Yadav, Amarjeet Yadav, Navneet Yadav @ Loha Yadav (all three are Sons of Sanjay Yadav), Raushan Yadav, Kundan Yadav and Suraj Yadav use to threaten with dire consequences to the deceased Aadarsh Kumar and on 28.02.2026 when informant was accompany deceased for local market then Navneet Yadav @ Loha Yadav and Manjeet Yadav	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition no. 960/2026
(Arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 174 of 2026)

Cont. 04.04.2026	waylaid informant and deceased Aadarsh Kumar and caused gunshot injury in the chest of deceased and on the place of occurrence one Pushpendra Kumar and Rahul Kumar were also present as a eye-witness. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner never applied for regular or anticipatory bail before this Court or before any other superior Court. It has further been submitted by Ld. Counsel for the petitioner that he is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case and no case is made out under Section 103(1) B.N.S and 27 of Arms Act against the petitioner. The petitioner is man of clean antecedent and in judicial custody since 02.03.2026. Petitioner also undertakes not to influence either directly or indirectly any of the prosecution witnesses, hence, bail petition of the petitioner may be allowed. 5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that in broad day light on a public road deceased was waylaid by petitioner Manjeet Yadav and co-accused Navneet Yadav @ Loha Yadav(both are real brother) and caused fire-arm injury in the chest of Aadarsh Kumar, who later on succumb to injury , hence, bail petition of the	
---------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition no. 960/2026
(Arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 174 of 2026)

Cont. 04.04.2026	petitioner may be dismissed. 6. I have heard the rival arguments of the Ld. counsel for the petitioners as well as Ld. P.P and perused the record. Petitioner herein named is FIR named accused person, identity of the accused person is not in dispute, informant specifically stated that the accused persons Manjeet Yadav and Navneet Yadav @ Loha Yadav(both are real brother) & co-accused persons are also related to one another and there is history of previous dispute in which deceased was threatened by accused persons and later on caused gunshot injury in the chest of deceased Aadarsh Kumar in full public view. In the course of evidence statement of independent witnesses Pushpendra Kumar recorded in para 4 of case diary and case of the prosecution is corroborated in toto by postmortem report and inquest report on record. 7. Considering gravity of offence alleged occurrence took place in broad day light on a public road in which gunshot injury caused to the deceased and there is specific allegation against the petitioner. In addition to that accused person stated in para 3 of bail petition that he is man of	
---------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition no. 960/2026
(Arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 174 of 2026)

Cont. 04.04.2026	clean antecedent but as per para 13 of case diary accused person is not man of clean antecedent and in this case investigation is still pending, hence, bail petition of the petitioner namely, Manjeet Yadav is devoid of merits and same is dismissed. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 04.04.2026	