



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition No. 915/2026

(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 525/25)

Kamal Kishor Yadav & Ors.

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
25.03.2026	1. Bail petition filed on behalf of accused person/petitioners, namely, 1. Kamal Kishor Yadav, 2. Kamal Dhari Yadav, 3. Kamal Narayan Singh among which petitioners no. 1. & @ are in judicial custody since 19.02.2026 and petitioner no. 3 is in judicial custody since 28.02.2026 in connection with Udwanthnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 525/2025 under Sections 80, 238 and 3(5) of B.N.S., put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Bhuneshwar Tiwari, Learned Counsel for petitioners, Shri Surendra Prasad Singh Learned Counsel for informant as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, the Learned P.P. for the State. 3. Prosecution story narrated by informant Bhola Singh as follows: marriage of deceased Arti Kumari was solemnized with Sonadhari	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition No. 915/2026

(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 525/25)

Contd. 25.03.2026	Singh on 12.03.2025 and inside matrimonial home unnatural death of deceased took place on 27.10.2025 allegedly at in the dead of night 12:00 am. It is also stated by informant that husband of deceased, namely, Sonadhari Singh along with his father Jitan Singh, mother Devanti Devi, Devar Mukesh Kumar and Nanad Agya Kumari used to harass mentally as well as physically for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry and accused persons used to demand cash as well as jewelry and Apache motorcycle but demand of dowry was not fulfilled. It is further submitted by informant that Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rs. One Lakh Only) was transferred into the account of one Mukesh Kumar, who is dever/brother-in-law of deceased. It has also come on record that without waiting for parents of deceased, dead body was cremated or allegedly concealed and till date dead body was not found and in this case petitioners are in judicial custody since 19.02.2026 and 28.02.2026. The petitioners are nephew / Bhagina of Jitan Singh @ Jitendra Singh, who is Sasur / father in law of deceased.	
-------------------------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition No. 915/2026

(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 525/25)

Contd. 25.02.2026	4. On behalf of the petitioners, it is submitted that no other bail petition has been filed or is pending either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble Court. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners are men of clean antecedents. It is also submitted that petitioners are innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that petitioners nephew / Bhagina of father-in-law of deceased and exaggerated and concocted story created by informant and all family members including husband has been arrayed as an accused in this case. There is no specific date, time has been given that prior to unnatural death of deceased any demand of dowry was made, hence, bail petition of petitioners may be allowed. 5. On the contrary, Ld. Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners and submitted that within one year of marriage unnatural death of deceased took place inside matrimonial home and this case is still at initial stage, hence, there are genuine	
-------------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition No. 915/2026

(arising out of Udwanatnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 525/25)

Contd. 25.03.2026	chances that petitioners may influence witnesses not to depose before Court or to depose falsely, hence, bail petition of petitioners is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record minutely. It is matter of record that husband of the deceased Sonadhari Singh, and as per FIR petitioners 1. Kamal Kishor Yadav, 2. Kamal Dhari Yadav, 3. Kamal Narayan Singh are maternal brother of Sonadhari Singh and in the FIR allegation of demand of dowry, mentally and physically torture due to non fulfillment of demand of dowry made against these petitioners, only incriminating allegation made against these petitioners are that, they helped in disposing of dead body and concealing material evidence to suppress evidence of this case. In the course of argument Ld. counsel for petitioners vehemently argued that petitioners are innocent persons and falsely implicated in this case and in support of their argument petitioner drawn attention of this Court	
--	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition No. 915/2026

(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 525/25)

Contd. 25.03.2026	towards medical documents of Kamal Kishore Yadav (petitioner no. 1). It appears from the perusal of record that petitioner no. 1 is a mental unsound and going under treatment since 2005. 7. Considering the medical document of Kamal Kishore Yadav @ Kamal Kishore, it is clear that he received treatment in private hospitals as well as Bihar State Mental Health Institute, Koilawar as well and hence his implicatio in this case appears to be not proper and it supports the case of defense that maternal brothers of main accused who are not resident of village 'Edaura', where the occurrence took place, they are in custody since 19.02.2026 and 28.02.2026 respectively, they are clean antecedent, considering the period of custody, no evidence is available on record to support the case of the prosecution that petitioners in any manner helped in disposing of dead body and mental illness of petitioner no. 1, the bail petition of petitioners, namely, 1. Kamal Kishor Yadav, 2. Kamal Dhari Yadav, 3. Kamal Narayan Singh is	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition No. 915/2026

(arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. Case FIR No. 525/25)

Contd. 25.03.2026	allowed and they are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to the conditions that petitioners shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which they are suspected, and that petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 25.02.2026	