



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

Bail Petition no. 881/2026

(Arising out of Udwant Nagar PS Case No. 495/25)

Prabhat Yadav

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
25.03.2026	1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Prabhat Yadav who is in custody since 24.10.2025 in connection with Udwantnagar P.S. case no. 495/2025 u/s 103(1), 3(5) of B.N.S. & 27 Arms Act, put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Arvind Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for petitioner, Sri Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state. 3. In this case daughter of one Siyaram Yadav eloped with son of informant Rajkumar Yadav despite being relative hence there was enimical relation between family of deceased and family of accused persons and due to this reason allegedly accused persons conspired to commit murder of informant Rajkumar Yadav but murdered Kanahiya Yadav aged about 85 years (who is father of informant Rajkumar Yadav). In this case there is no eye witness and in the morning dead body of deceased was found with	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

Bail Petition no. 881/2026

(Arising out of Udwant Nagar PS Case No. 495/25)

Contd. 25.03.2026	profuse bleeding. In the course of investigation statement of witnesses was recorded, and accused persons were arrested and their confessional statement were recorded. In this case petitioner Prabhat Yadav and co-accused Vikash Yadav were arrested and Prabhat Yadav confessed in his confessional that he and his friends shoot the deceased. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that earlier petitioner preferred regular bail vide B.P No. 4691 of 2025 which was withdrawn and thereafter no other bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court prior to the present one and petitioner has five criminal antecedents. It is argued that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and no eye witnesses has supported the case of the prosecution. After completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed. It has further submitted by Ld. counsel for the petitioner that co-accused Hira Devi has been granted anticipatory bail vide A.B.P No. 3028 of 2025 by	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

Bail Petition no. 881/2026

(Arising out of Udwan Nagar PS Case No. 495/25)

Contd. 25.03.2026	this court and co-accused Sonu Yadav was also granted regular bail by the Hon'ble High Court vide Cr. Misc No. 4925 of 2026. No single witnesses came to support this case and petitioner is ready to comply with any condition imposed by this Court. Hence, bail petition of petitioners may be allowed. 5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that considering the gravity and nature of the offence and the manner in which offence was committed the present bail petition is devoid of merit, hence, bail petition of petitioner may be dismissed. 6. I have heard the rival submissions of both parties and perused the case record. During the course of investigation one Sonu Kumar Yadav @ Sonu Yadav was apprehended by police and accused Sonu Kumar Yadav @ Sonu Yadav while in police custody also confessed his crime and also confess the complicity of the petitioner in the alleged crime. The petitioner is named in FIR in which a senior citizen aged about 85 years shot dead in the dead of the night and his dead body was found on the next day by the informant Rajkumar Yadav (who is son of the	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

Bail Petition no. 881/2026

(Arising out of Udwan Nagar PS Case No. 495/25)

Contd. 25.03.2026	deceased). The petitioner also confess this complicity in the alleged occurrence vide para-94 of the case diary that he along with other co-accused persons shot the father of the informant. From perusal of CDR report at para-189 of the case diary shows that the petitioner was in regular touch with co-accused Bhola Yadav on the alleged day of occurrence and total 21 time conversations took place between the petitioner and co-accused Bhola Yadav which corroborates the case of the prosecution. As per paragraph no. 202 there are five criminal antecedents against the petitioner Prabhat Yadav vide -1. Krishnagarh PS Case No. 70/2023, u/s 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 302, 504 of IPC and u/s 27 of Arms Act. 2. Krishnagarh PS Case No. 136/25, u/s 30-A, Excise Act. 3. Krishnagarh PS Case No. 73/23 u/s 120(b), 384, 419, 420 of IPC and u/s 28 of Arms Act & 66 I.T. Act. 4. Krishnagarh PS Case No. 88/25 u/s 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 303(8), 109, 3(5) of BNS and 5. Krishnagarh PS Case No. 130/25 u/s 309 (6) of BNS. 7. Considering the fact that the petitioner is named in FIR in which a senior citizen aged about 85 years caused gunshot injury by the accused persons and CDR of the mobile number	
--	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

Bail Petition no. 881/2026

(Arising out of Udwant Nagar PS Case No. 495/25)

Contd. 25.03.2026	of petitioner also corroborates the case of the prosecution, blemish criminal antecedents of the petitioner, at this stage bail petition of the petitioner Prabhat Yadav is devoid of merit and same is dismissed. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 25.03.2026	