

In the court of Vth Addl. Sessions Judge, Bhojpur at Ara.  
Presiding Officer: Ms. Supriya Singh Chauhan  
**Bail Petition No. 882/2026**  
Arvind Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar

(Arising out of Nawada, P.S. Case No. 712/2025)  
(U/s 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 85, 3(5), 80 of B.N.S & 3/ 4 D.P.Act)

**1. Arvind Kumar s/o- Tuntun Singh, aged about 27 years.**  
.....Petitioner.

versus

**State of Bihar** .....O.P.

**For the Petitioner:-** Sri. Manoj Kumar, Advocate  
**For the State:-** Smt. Vineeta Kumari, Addl. P.P.

### **ORDER**

**07.04.2026** Bail petition dated 10.03.2026 filed on behalf of the incarcerated accused **Arvind Kumar**, he is in custody since 19.12.2025 in connection with Nawada, P.S. Case No. 712 of 2025, pressed today.

Copy of the bail petition supplied to the Ld. Addl. P.P. on the same date.

Pressing the bail petition ld. Counsel for the petitioner stated that petitioner has not moved any anticipatory or regular bail in this learned court or Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. There is no criminal antecedents against the petitioner.

Ld. A.P.P. has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

LCR has been received.

The case of the prosecution as per the informant is that her daughter was married to the cousin brother of the petitioner in 2024. After marriage they demanded money and jewellery and tortured her for meeting their demand. On 29.10.2025 the petitioner and their brother assaulted her daughter and forcefully made her to drink poison. When the informant got this information, he rushed to her home but the petitioner and the other co-accused attacked them with swords and knife. The daughter of the informant was rescued with the help of police of Nawada, P.S. and taken to Sadar Hospital, Ara. Hence this case.

Perused the record and heard the arguments of both the sides, the informant has supported the prosecution case in para no. 2 of the case diary. In para no. 3 and 4 of the case diary the witnesses have also supported the case. In para no. 28 of the case diary, the informant and in para no. 30 of the case diary, the independent witness have stated above the role of this petitioner also. From perusal of para no. 19 and 22 of the case diary it appears that the daughter of the informant was referred to several hospitals in critical

**In the court of Vth Addl. Sessions Judge, Bhojpur at Ara.  
Presiding Officer: Ms. Supriya Singh Chauhan  
Bail Petition No. 882/2026  
Arvind Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar**

condition and lastly she died during treatment. The daughter of the informant has died an unnatural death in her sasural within 7 years of marriage and the report of Medanta Hospital Patna diagnose it as a case of celphos poisoning and in para no. 56 of the PMR report also shows bruises on her body.

Considering the above circumstances of the case, this court does not find it appropriate to grant bail to the accused petitioner.

Accordingly, the bail petition of all the petitioner namely **Arvind Kumar** stands **Rejected.**

Let, a copy of the order along with LCR be transmitted to the learned Trial Court forthwith.

**Dictated**

**Sd/-**

**(Supriya Singh Chauhan)  
Addl. Sessions Judge-V  
Bhojpur, Ara  
Dated: 07.04.2026**

**Memo No..... Dated: .....**

**Office of Ld. Vth Addl. Sessions Judge, Bhojpur.**

**Copy of bail order along with LCR passed in ..... forwarded to  
the court of ..... Bhojpur for information and needful.**

**Vth Addl. Sessions Judge.  
Bhojpur at Ara**