



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition No. 883/2026
(Arising out of Ara Muffasil P.S. Case No. 346 of 2025)

Nandji Singh

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
13.03.2026	1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely Nandji Singh, who is in judicial custody since 24.12.2025 in connection with Ara Muffasil F.I.R Case FIR No. 346/2025 under Sections 25(1-b)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act., put up for hearing. 2. Heard Smt. Arisha Jabeen, Learned Counsel for petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, the learned P.P. for the State. 3. As per prosecution story case on 23.12.2025 at 09:45 P.M, informant S.I Priti Kumari, P.S Ara Muffasil along with police party on patrolling duty near Ara Barhara Road, Mathvaliya bridge that two persons suddenly started running on watching the police vehicle and petitioners were apprehended by police party. In the course of investigation from right side of waist in full pants a country made pistol was recovered from petitioner Binod Kumar Singh and three live cartridges were recovered from left pocket of pants and Realme Narzo mobile from right pocket of pant was recovered from petitioner Nandji Singh and seizure list was prepared.	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition No. 883/2026
(Arising out of Ara Muffasil P.S. Case No. 346 of 2025)

Contd. 13.03.2026	4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that the petitioner has either no regular or anticipatory bail petition has been filed before this Ld. Court or before the Hon'ble High Court Patna prior to this bail petition. It is argued that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. After completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed. Petitioner is in custody since 24.12.2025 and having clean antecedent of man and he undertakes to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, bail petition of petitioner may be allowed. 5. On the contrary, Ld. P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that three live cartridge and a mobile phone was recovered from the petitionere, hence, bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard the rival submissions of both the parties and perused the case record. Petitioner is man of clean antecedent, three live cartridges were recovered from left pocket of pants of petitioner and Realme Narzo mobile from right pocket of pant was recovered from petitioner Nandji Singh. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 24.12.2025 and all witnesses are official witnesses and seized articles are in police	
------------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition No. 883/2026
(Arising out of Ara Muffasil P.S. Case No. 346 of 2025)

Contd. 13.03.2026	malkhana. After completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed against the petitioner, hence, there is no chance of either tampering with evidence or influencing witnesses. 7. Considering the period of custody, clean antecedent of the petitioner, bail petition of the petitioner Nandji Singh is allowed and he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each subject to the condition that petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected, and the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or before any police officer or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential in any further proceeding in this case. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 13.03.2026	