



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

**B.P. No. 884/2026
(Arising out of Chandi PS Case No. 221/2024)**

Shyam Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
25.03.2026	1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Shyam Kumar, who is in judicial custody since 18.02.2026 in connection with Chandi P.S. case no. 221/2024 under Sections 80, 3(5) of BNS put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Bishnudhar Pandey, Learned Counsel for petitioner as well as Sri Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state. 3. On the written complaint of the informant Bhola Yadav this FIR has been lodged u/s 80 3(5) of BNS. As per the case of the prosecution that on 21.12.2024 petitioner (son-in-law) of the informant informed that Ritu Kumari (deceased), daughter of the informant died due to electric current. The informant informed the Chandi Police Station about the occurrence. It is alleged that all the FIR named accused persons including the petitioner repeatedly demanded Rs. Two lac as dowry from deceased and for not	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 884/2026

(Arising out of Chandi PS Case No. 221/2024)

Contd. 25.03.2026	fulfillment of the same they killed the informant's daughter. 4. Ld. counsel for the petitioner submitted that earlier the petitioner preferred ABP No. 652 of 2025 which was rejected by the court of Ld. ASJ-Xth, Ara and thereafter, petitioner preferred anticipatory bail before the Hon'ble High Court vide Cr. Misc No. 40387 of 2025 which was also rejected and thereafter no other bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court prior to the present one and petitioner is the man of clean antecedent. It is argued that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner is husband of the deceased and he never demanded any type of dowry. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 18.02.2026 having clean antecedent and he undertakes to comply with any condition as imposed by this court, hence, bail of the petitioner may be allowed. 5. On the contrary Ld. Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail plea of the petitioner and submitted that the preset case	
--	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 884/2026

(Arising out of Chandi PS Case No. 221/2024)

Contd. 25.03.2026	relates to an incident of dowry death and present petitioner is husband of deceased, hence, bail of the petitioner should be dismissed. 6. I have heard the rival submission of both parties, perused the case record minutely. It transpires that Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 01.09.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 40387 of 2025 heavily relied upon submissions of Ld. APP pleased to observed that in para-5 "even presuming that the deceased committed suicide, in that event also the responsibility of the petitioner does not get minimized being husband. It is further submitted that wife is prime responsibility of husband and even if the wife committed suicide, in that event the husband must have created conditions conducive for the victim to take the extreme step of ending her life." and the Hon'ble Court pleased to reject the bail prayer of this petitioner stating that, "Considering the submissions made by learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in connection with Chandi PS Case No. 221 of 2024". It is undisputed fact that marriage of petitioner took place in the year 2023 and within one year of marriage unnatural death took place inside matrimonial home,	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 884/2026

(Arising out of Chandi PS Case No. 221/2024)

Contd. 25.03.2026	though, allegedly deceased committed suicide and hanged herself, but the allegation of demand of dowry, physical harassment and mental cruelty made against petitioner. The investigation of this case against the petitioner is still pending. 7. Considering the facts and circumstances discussed above specially unnatural death took place within one year of marriage a prima facie case u/s 80 of BNS is made out as well as presumption under Section 113-A of Evidence Act also goes against the petitioner. This case is still at initial stage and investigation of this case is continuing, hence, bail petition of petitioner Shyam Kumar is devoid of merit and same is Dismissed. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 25.03.2026	