



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

B.P. No. 887 of 2026

B.P. No. 903 of 2026

AND

B.P No. 934 of 2026

(Arising out of Tarari P.S. Case FIR No. 02 of 2026)

1. B.P No. 887 of 2026

Akash Sriwastava

Petitioner

versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

2. B.P No. 903 of 2026

Rajesh Kumar

Petitioner

versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

3. B.P No.934 of 2026

Nitish Kumar

Petitioner

versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
23.03.2026	1. These three bail petitions are related to the same P.S. case, hence these three bail petitions are decided by this common order. 2. B.P No. 887 of 2026 has been filed on behalf of the petitioner, namely, Akash Sriwastva, B.P No. 903 of 2026 has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Rajesh Kumar @ Bittu Kumar and B.P No. 934 of 2026 has been filed	

B.P. No. 887 of 2026
B.P. No. 903 of 2026
B.P No. 934 of 2026

Tarari P.S. Case FIR No. 02 of 2026

Purushottam Mishra
Sessions Judge
23.03.2026



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

B.P. No. 887 of 2026

B.P. No. 903 of 2026

AND

B.P No. 934 of 2026

(Arising out of Tarari P.S. Case FIR No. 02 of 2026)

Contd. 23.03.2026	on behalf of the petitioner Nitish Kumar, who are in custody since 08.01.2026, in connection with Tarari P.S. Case no. 02/2026 u/ss. 25(1-B)a, 26, 35 of Arms Act. 3. Heard Shri Rakesh Kumar Pandey in B.P No. 887 of 2026, Sri. Arvind Kumar Singh in B.P No. 903 of 2026 and Sri. Yashwant Kumar in B.P No. 934 of 20265 and Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State. 4. As per the case of the prosecution that the present FIR has been lodged at the instance of the informant ASI Abhishek Anand alleging therein that on 07.01.2026 at about 16:30 PM, he along with other police personnel was on patrolling duty and started vehicle checking at at Varsi Chowk and at about 17:25 PM three persons riding on a motorcycle was coming towards village Dhangawa, on seeing the police personnel they were started fleeing but was apprehended. The apprehended persons disclosed their name as Akash Srivastava, Rajesh	
--	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

B.P. No. 887 of 2026

B.P. No. 903 of 2026

AND

B.P No. 934 of 2026

(Arising out of Tarari P.S. Case FIR No. 02 of 2026)

Contd. 23.03.2026	Kumar @ Bittu and Nitish Kumar, on their search one country made Katta and a live cartridge was recovered from the possession of the accused Rajesh Kumar @ Bittu and nothing incriminating was recovered from the possession of the other co-accused persons. 5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioners are men of clean antecedent. It is argued that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. Nothing incriminating was recovered from the possession of the petitioners. No independent witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution and all the witnesses are police witnesses. After completion of investigation charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioners. The petitioners are young man aged about 20, 19 and 18 years respectively having clean antecedent	
--	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

B.P. No. 887 of 2026

B.P. No. 903 of 2026

AND

B.P No. 934 of 2026

(Arising out of Tarari P.S. Case FIR No. 02 of 2026)

Contd. 23.03.2026	and they are in custody since 08.01.2026, hence, bail petitions of petitioners may be allowed. 6. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petitions of petitioners and submitted a country made katta and a live cartridge was recovered from the possession of petitioner Rajesh Kumar @ Bittu and other petitioners were also arrested on spot, hence, bail petitions of petitioners is devoid of merit and should be dismissed. 7. I have heard rival submissions of both sides and perused the record. It appears from perusal of record that three persons apprehended by police officials namely Akash Srivastava, Rajesh Kumar @ Bittu and Nitish Kumar and from possession of petitioner Rajesh Kumar @ Bittu Kumar a country made katta and a live cartridge was recovered. It appears from the perusal of record that nothing incriminating was recovered from the possession of the petitioner Akash Srivastava and Nitish Kumar. It also reveal from the perusal of record that after completion of investigation charge-sheet has been submitted	
-------------------------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

B.P. No. 887 of 2026

B.P. No. 903 of 2026

AND

B.P No. 934 of 2026

(Arising out of Tarari P.S. Case FIR No. 02 of 2026)

Contd. 23.03.2026	against the petitioners. The petitioners are young man aged about 20, 19 and 18 years respectively having clean antecedent, all the witnesses are police official witnesses, seized arms in police Malkhana, hence, there are no chance of tampering of evidence and influencing witnesses at the hands of the petitioners. The petitioners are in custody since 08.01.2026 having clean antecedent as per para 25 of the case diary. 8. Considering the period of custody, completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed, clean antecedent of the petitioners, bail petition of the petitioner Akash Sriwastav in B.P No. 887 of 2026, bail petition of the petitioner Rajesh Kumar @ Bittu Kumar in B.P No. 903 of 2026 and bail petition of the petitioner Nitish Kumar in B.P No. 934 of 2026 are allowed and they are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned	
--	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

B.P. No. 887 of 2026

B.P. No. 903 of 2026

AND

B.P No. 934 of 2026

(Arising out of Tarari P.S. Case FIR No. 02 of 2026)

Contd. 23.03.2026	Court below subject to the conditions that petitioners shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which they are suspected, and that petitioners shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 23.03.2026	