



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 705 of 2026

(Arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S FIR No. 97 of 2026)

Pinki Devi & Others

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
07.04.2026	1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners namely, 1. Pinki Devi, 2. Naina Devi and 3. Rajendra Singh, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Udwanthnagar P.S. case No. 97/2026 u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2), 351(2), 351(3), 352, 3(5) of BNS. 2. Heard Shri Arvind Kumar Singh, Learned Counsel for petitioners as well as Sri. Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the State. 3. It is case of the prosecution that on 24.02.2026 at about 03:00 P.M informant Vijanti Devi tied goat at door then petitioner Pinki Devi abusing and hit with brick and stick on the head of informant and petitioners also assaulted the daughter of the informant. 4. Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that there is case and counter case between both the parties. Petitioners are falsely	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 705 of 2026

(Arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S FIR No. 97 of 2026)

Cont. 07.04.2026	implicated in this case out of previous enmity and village politics. Hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners may be allowed. 5. On the contrary, Learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and submitted that accused persons mercilessly beaten the informant, hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners are devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard rival submissions of both sides and perused the record. It appears from the perusal of record that free fight took place between two women on a trivial issue of goat entered into the house of other and due to that free fight took place between two women. Considering the facts that petitioner no. 1 and petitioner no. 2 are women. No allegation made against Rajendra Singh, injury report corroborates only the allegation made against the petitioner Pinki Devi. 7. Considering the facts and circumstances that petitioners are women and due to trivial dispute of goat entered into the area occupied by informant this occurrence took place. Petitioners undertakes to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners namely 1. Pinki Devi, 2. Naina	
-----------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

A.B.P. No. 705 of 2026

(Arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S FIR No. 97 of 2026)

Cont. 07.04.2026	Devi and 3. Rajendra Singh is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 07.04.2026	