

IN THE COURT OF SMT. ARTI KUMARI SINGH,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE- IVth,
CIVIL COURT, BHOJPUR AT ARA- 802301.
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 704 of 2026
Arising out of Mahila P.S. Case No. 06 of 2026

IN THE MATTER OF:-

1. Sangita Devi, W/o- Sri Shashi Bhushan Sharma, Age – 51 years,
 2. Shashi Bhushan Sharma, S/o- Late. Ravindra Sharma, Age – 58 years
- (All R/o- Ward No. 3, Railway Colony, , P.S. Mokama, District- Patna)

Sangita Devi & Others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

Learned counsel for the State : Sri Ram Prasad Ram, Ld. Additional Public Prosecutor.
Learned counsel for the Petitioners : Sri Satyanarayan Pandey, Ld. Advocate.

ORDER

15.04.2026

1. This Anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of accused / petitioners namely (1) Sangita Devi, (2) Shashi Bhushan Sharma who are apprehending their arrest in the hands of police in connection with **Mahila P.S. Case No. 06 of 2026** registered for the alleged offences under section 115(2), 126(2), 85,89, 3(5) of B.N.S and 3/4 of Dowry Act.
2. Heard Sri Satyanarayan Pandey learned counsel for the petitioners as well as Sri Ram Prasad Ram learned A.P.P for the State on anticipatory bail petition.
3. As per F.I.R., both the petitioners are alleged to have physically and mentally tortured to the informant for demand of a motorcycle and Rs. Two Lakhs in the form of dowry along with other co-accused persons. It has further been alleged that the accused persons ousted the informant from her matrimonial house after snatching her stree dhan.
4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners / accused that no bail petition either regular or anticipatory has been previously filed before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The allegations made in the F.I.R. is completely false and they have been falsely implicated in this case due

15.4.26

4. Continued.....

to family dispute. It has further been submitted that no case under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 85,89, 3(5) of B.N.S and 3/4 of Dowry Act.is attracted against the petitioners and all these petitioners are in-laws of the informant and they live separately from the informant. It has further been submitted that there is no specific and overt act levelled against the petitioners. Petitioners have clean antecedents.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail petition and submitted that against the petitioners there are serious allegations and they do not deserve anticipatory bail.

6. I heard both the parties and perused the F.I.R., case record along with case diary which transpires that petitioners are alleged to have tortured physically and mentally to the informant for demand of a motorcycle and rupees two lakhs in the form of dowry and both the petitioners are mother-in-law and father-in-law of the informant and they have no concern with her. Further appears that there is no specific and overt act levelled against the petitioners. Petitioners have clean antecedents as orally submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners and same is also mentioned at para no. 03 of bail petition of the petitioners. It also transpires through the case record and mentioned in para no. 6 and annexure I of the bail petition that the petitioner no-1 is mother in law and petitioner no-2 is father in-law of the informant and both the petitioners are very old person. It also transpires through the case record that the informant is not ready to live with her husband and resided only 7 days in her sasural. It further transpires that petitioners are ready to keep their daughter-in-law with full honour and dignity.

15.4.26

7. Considering all these discussed facts, in my view, a lenient approach can be taken in respect of the petitioners' prayer. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail prayer of the above named petitioners is hereby **allowed** and in event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within 30 days from receipt of this order they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the court below subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482 of B.N.S.S. subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioners/accused shall co-operate with investigation/trial as and when required to do so.

(ii) The petitioners/accused shall not commit an offence of similar nature.

(iii) The petitioners shall, directly or indirectly, shall not try to impress upon the witnesses of the case.

Dictated and corrected by me

Arti Kumari Singh

(Arti Kumari Singh)

Additional Sessions Judge – IVth,

Bhojpur at Ara.

15.04.2026