



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 701/2026

(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 47 of 2026)

Pardeshi Gupta and One Anr

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
09.04.2026	1. This Anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely, 1. Pardeshi Gupta and 2. Lal Sabeb Gupta, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Koilwar P.S. case no. 47/2026 u/ss. 331(4), 305 of BNS, put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Daujee Pandey, Id. Counsel for the petitioners as well as the learned P.P. Sri Rana Pratap Singh for the State. 3. The case of the informant Chandan Kumar is that on 27.02.2026 mother of the informant had gone to attend marriage at her sister house and when she returned on 03.03.2026 she saw thieves committed theft at her house by breaking the box and all the ornaments kept in the box and cash Rs.5,00100/- were stolen. The informant has full belief that accused Abhishek Kumar has committed the crime and Rs.10,400/- was recovered from the possession of Abhishek Kumar. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 701/2026

(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 47 of 2026)

Contd. 09.04.2026	that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioners are man of clean antecedent. It is argued that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. The name of the petitioners cropped up in confessional statement of co-accused Karan Kumar and nothing incriminating was recovered from the possession of the petitioners. The petitioners are real brother aged about 25 and 21 years respectively having clean antecedent and they undertake to comply with any condition as imposed by this court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners may be allowed. 5. On the contrary Learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and submitted that the name of the petitioners cropped up in confessional statement of co-accused as purchaser of stolen ornaments, the investigation is at initial stage, hence anticipatory bail application of the petitioners should be dismissed. 6. I have heard the rival submissions of both	
----------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 701/2026

(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 47 of 2026)

Contd. 09.04.2026	the parties, perused the case record. From perusal of record it appears that the name of the petitioners cropped up in confessional statement of co-accused Karan Kumar in which he confessed that the stolen ornaments were sold to the petitioners but nothing incriminating was recovered from the possession of the petitioners. It appears from perusal of record that none of the Sections mentioned in the FIR punishable with imprisonment for more than 7 years and same is squarely covered by law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Arnesh Kumar Vrs. State of Bihar (2014)8 SCC 273 and Satyendra Kumar Antil Vs. C.B.I. 2023(SC) 233. The petitioners are real brothers aged about 25 and 21 years respectively having clean antecedent. 7. Considering the fact that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioners, the petitioner is young man aged about 25 and 21 years having clean antecedent and they undertake to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners, namely	
----------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 701/2026

(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 47 of 2026)

Contd. 09.04.2026	Pardeshi Gupta and 2. Lal Saheb Gupta is allowed and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482 (2) BNSS and with further condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 09.04.2026	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 701/2026
(Arising out of Koilwar P.S. Case No. 47 of 2026)