

IN THE COURT OF SRI MANORANJAN KUMAR JHA
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IVth, BHOJPUR AT ARA.
Bail Petition No. 872 of 2026
(Arising out of Charpokhri P.S. Case No. 249 of 2025)
Rajesh Pal Vs. The State of Bihar

19.03.2026 The Present Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of the accused/ petitioner namely **Rajesh Pal** who is in Judicial Custody since 24.02.2026 in connection with Charpokhri P.S. Case No. 249 of 2025 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115, 109, 74, 352, 351(2) of B.N.S.

Heard Sri Vishnudhar Pandey learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri Ram Prasad Ram learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on Bail Petition.

It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner/accused that the petitioner earlier applied for anticipatory bail vide A.B.P. No. 150/26 and after hearing the parties the same was rejected by A.D.J. IVth. And no other anticipatory bail or regular bail has ever been filed either before this learned court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna prior to the present one.

The main allegation as per FIR is that on 23.11.2025, at about 04:00 P.M, while the accused Prince was installing jangla towards his land side and on oppose, the petitioner and others started assaulting Dharm Nath Bhagat with sticks and rods and when he went to save him then the accused namely, Aman Pal hit him with intention to kill with an iron rod and when his Bhabhi started shouting for help then accused Kanhaiya Bhagat abused her and also beaten her and disrobed her.

The main submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner is innocent and committed no offence and there is no specific allegation of overt act against the petitioner. Further submitted that the allegations are specific against co-accused Aman Pal and Bhabhi of Kanhaiya Bhagat. Further the alleged occurrence took place on 23.11.2025 and after lapse of five days the informant presented his written petition. It is submitted that the parties are next door neighbours and no such occurrence has ever been taken place as alleged by the informant.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the bail petition and submitted that the allegations against the petitioner is serious in nature, so, he does not deserve to bail.

I have heard both the sides and perused the record. On perusal of the record, it transpires that the allegations against the petitioner is general and

Continued...
19.03.2026

omnibus. Further on perusal of F.I.R., it reflects that specific allegations of assault and abusing are against other co-accused namely Aman Pal, Munni Devi and Kanhaiya Bhagat. Furthermore, as reflects from para 22 of the C.D., the petitioner is carrying clean antecedent. Moreover, the petitioner is in judicial custody since 24.02.2026.

In light of the aforementioned facts and circumstances and mainly considering the custody period of this petitioner, let the petitioner namely **Rajesh Pal** be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the court concerned, subject to the following conditions:-

- The petitioner/accused shall co-operate with trial as and when required to do so and physically present before the court concerned till disposal of the case.
- The petitioner/accused shall not commit an offence similar to the one accused of.
- The petitioner shall directly or indirectly not try to impress upon the witnesses of the case.
- One of bailors must be **family member/relative** of the petitioner.

Dictated and corrected by me

Additional Sessions Judge-IVth,
Bhojpur at Ara.
19.03.2026