

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 850/2026****(arising out of Chauri P.S FIR No. 185 of 2025)****Ravi Yadav****Petitioner****versus****State of Bihar****Opp. Party**

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
10.03.2026	<p>1. Bail petition filed on behalf of accused/petitioner, namely, Ravi Yadav, who is in judicial custody since 11.12.2025 in connection with Chauri P.S. Case F.I.R. No. 185/2025 under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 191(3), 303(2), 352 and 351(2) of B.N.S. put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Bishnudhar Pandey, Learned Counsel for Petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State.</p> <p>3. This FIR was lodged on the written complaint of Pintu Rai resident of Village - Guljarpur, P.S.- Sahar, Distt.- Bhojpur against as many as 27 FIR named accused persons and 30-35 unknown persons. It appears from the perusal of record that all 27 FIR named accused persons including the petitioner herein are from Village - Dulamchhak, P.S. - Chauri and informant is from Village - Guljarpur, P.S. - Sahar and accused persons the moment saw Vikash Rai and Golu Rai along with Pintu Rai</p>	

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 850/2026****(arising out of Chauri P.S FIR No. 185 of 2025)**

Contd. 10.03.2026	<p>started mercilessly beating them and as per fardbayan specific allegation made against Rintu Yadav, Chandan Rajak, Pappu Yadav @ Ajay Kumar Singh, Bittu Kumar, Prahasht Kumar and Ritesh Kumar and these persons are assailants in this case. In the course of investigation statement of witnesses were recorded and injury report of Golu Rai and Vikash Rai was received and as per injury report several injuries were found on the person of Vikash Rai and Golu Rai.</p> <p>4. On behalf of the petitioner, it is submitted that no other bail petition has been filed or is pending either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble High Court. It is also submitted that petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is also accused in four other cases. It is further submitted that general and omnibus allegation made against the petitioner who is resident of Village - Dulamchak and this village is on inimical term with Village - Guljarpur and material available on record not support the case of the prosecution. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner further submitted that several co-accused persons were granted bail by this court <i>vide</i> A.B.P. No. 3352 of 2025</p>	
------------------------------	--	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 850/2026****(arising out of Chauri P.S FIR No. 185 of 2025)**

Contd. 10.03.2026	<p>dated 17.12.2025, A.B.P. No. 3353 of 2025 dated 17.12.2025, B.P. No. 4900 of 2025 dated 06.01.2026, B.P. No. 4902 of 2025 dated 06.01.2026, B.P. No. 4930 of 2025 dated 06.01.2026 and B.P. 220 of 2026 dated 24.01.2026 and the case of the petitioner is on parity with the co-accused persons. The petitioner is in custody since 11.12.2025, hence, bail petition of petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary, Learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that in the course of investigation injury report of Golu Rai and Vikash Rai was received and they have been mercilessly beaten by accused persons including the petitioner herein, hence, bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard rival submission of both the parties and perused the case record minutely. It is clear from perusal of record that as per injury report of injured Vikash Rai a lacerated wound on temporal region size about 1/2"x1/8"x muscle deep, as per injury report of injured Rohit Kumar @ Golu it shows pain and swelling on occipital region size about 4cmx5/2cm, abrasion on face left side size 2"x breadth1/4", abrasion on</p>	
------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 850/2026****(arising out of Chauri P.S FIR No. 185 of 2025)**

Contd. 10.03.2026	<p>back painful swelling size about 2.5” and all the injuries are simple in nature. It also appears from the perusal of record that co-accused Ajay Singh @ Ajay Kumar Singh, who is in judicial custody since 15.12.2025 and granted bail by Hon'ble Patna High Court <i>vide</i> Miscellaneous No. 8342 of 2026 dated 12.02.206. From the perusal of record, it also appears that similarly situated co-accused persons, namely, Dilip Yadav @ Dilip Kumar, Birbal Yadav @ Birbal Singh, Anil Yadav @ Anil Kumar Yadav, Nandu Singh @ Nandlal Singh, Deepak Yadav @ Deepak Kumar, Munna Yadav @ Avishek Kumar, Rohit Kumar @ Rohit Yadav, Bishnu Yadav @ Bishnu Kumar, Pintu Kumar @ Pintu Yadav and Umesh Singh were granted bail by this Court <i>vide</i> A.B.P. No. 3352 of 2025 dated 17.12.2025, A.B.P. No. 3353 of 2025 dated 17.12.2025, B.P. No. 4900 of 2025 dated 06.01.2026, B.P. No. 4902 of 2025 dated 06.01.2026, B.P. No. 4930 of 2025 dated 06.01.2026 and B.P. 220 of 2026 dated 24.01.2026 and case of the petitioner is on parity. The petitioner is in custody since 11.12.2025, permanent resident of Bhojpur and he undertakes to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, bail petition of petitioner is allowed.</p>	
------------------------------	---	--

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 850/2026****(arising out of Chauri P.S FIR No. 185 of 2025)**

Contd. 10.03.2026	<p>7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case discussed hereinabove, period of custody, nature of allegation against the petitioner and parity with the co-accused persons, bail petition of petitioner, namely, Ravi Yadav is allowed and he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Court below subject to the conditions that petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected, and that petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p>(Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/-</p> <p>(Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 10.03.2026</p>	
------------------------------------	---	--