

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 854/2026****(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case FIR No. 792/2025)****Lalan Yadav @ Lalan Kumar Yadav****Petitioner****versus****State of Bihar****Opp. Party**

| Date of order or proceeding | Order with signature of the court | Office action taken with date |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 25.03.2026 | <p>1. This is second bail petition filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, Lalan Yadav @ Lalan Kumar Yadav earlier anticipatory bail petition of petitioner was dismissed by this Court <i>vide</i> A.B.P. No. 118/2026 dated 18.02.2026.</p> <p>2. Bail petition filed on behalf of accused/petitioner, namely, Lalan Yadav @ Lalan Kumar Yadav, who is in judicial custody since 19.02.2026 in connection with Ara Nawada P.S. Case F.I.R. No. 792/2025 under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 324(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of B.N.S. and 27 of Arms Act, put up for hearing.</p> <p>3. Heard Shri Dev Shakti Sawarn, Learned Counsel for Petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the State.</p> <p>4. As per the case of the prosecution that on 09.12.2025 at about 08:30 P.M. the informant was at his shop and in the meantime accused persons including the petitioner herein came there and ate food and when informant</p> | |

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 854/2026****(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case FIR No. 792/2025)**

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Contd. 25.03.2026 | <p>demanded money then accused persons threatened him and thereafter damage the shop of the informant and made two round firing, hence, this FIR was lodged.</p> <p>5. On behalf of the petitioner, it is submitted that earlier anticipatory bail petition of petitioner was dismissed by this Court <i>vide</i> A.B.P. No. 118/26 dated 18.02.2026 thereafter no other bail petition has been filed or is pending either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble Court to the present one. It is further submitted that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case due to previous enmity and business rivalry. The allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature and in this case no one sustained any injury. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 19.02.2025, permanent resident of Bhojpur and he undertakes to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, bail petition of petitioner may be allowed</p> <p>6. On the contrary, Learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that petitioner was involved in the alleged offence, hence, bail petition of the petitioner is devoid of merit and same should</p> | |
|------------------------------|--|--|

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 854/2026****(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case FIR No. 792/2025)**

| | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Contd. 25.03.2026 | <p>be dismissed.</p> <p>7. I have heard rival submission of both the parties and perused the case record minutely. From the perusal of record, it appears that earlier anticipatory bail petition of petitioner was dismissed by this Court <i>vide</i> A.B.P. No. 118/26 dated 18.02.2026. After completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed. There is no recovery of any cartridge from the place of occurrence. Only bald and exaggerated allegation made against the petitioner and there is no material available on record other than bald allegation to substantiate the allegation made against the informant. There is no chance of either tampering with evidence or influencing witnesses. The petitioner is in custody since 19.02.2026, permanent resident of Bhojpur and he undertakes to comply with any conditions imposed by this Court, hence, bail petition of petitioner is allowed.</p> <p>8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case discussed hereinabove, period of custody and nature of allegation against the petitioner, bail petition of petitioner, namely, Lalan Yadav @ Lalan Kumar Yadav is allowed and he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs.</p> | |
|------------------------------|---|--|

**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA****Bail Petition No. 854/2026****(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case FIR No. 792/2025)**

| | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Contd. 25.03.2026 | <p>Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Learned Court below subject to the conditions that petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which they are accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected, and that petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p>(Dictated & corrected by me) sd/-</p> <p>(Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 25.03.2026</p> | |
| | | |