

In the court of Additional Sessions Judge-XIII, Bhojpur at Ara
A.B.P. No. 684 of 2026
(Arising out of Mahila P.S Case No. 9 of 2026)
(Petition U/s 482 B.N.S)

Date	Order	Remark
25.03.2026	<p>In the matter of</p> <p>(i) Raj Kumar aged about 36 years S/o- Late Devchandra Prasad</p> <p>(ii) Manju Devi aged about 32 years W/o- Raj Kumar</p> <p>All R/o Mohalla- Pakri Shashi Children Academy P.S- Ara Nawada, Bhojpur</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Bihar</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">An anticipatory bail petition alongwith power has been filed on behalf of the above named accused persons in connection with Mahila P.S Case No. 9 of 2026 registered for offences under Sections 115(2), 85, 351(2), 351(3) of B.N.S & ¼ D.P Act. The petition has been pressed by learned Counsel for the petitioners Sri. Daya Shankar Pandey, Advocate. Copy of the petition has also been served to Sri. Nagendra Prasad Singh, A.P.P of this Court.</p> <p style="text-align: justify;">As per the written application of informant brief fact of the prosecution case is that the informant was marriage to Raj Milan Singh on 02.05.2021 according to Hindu rituals and rites. At the time of marriage cash and kinds were given from the side of bride as gift. After marriage accused persons started demanding four wheeler vehicle as a dowry. The informant show inability of her parents to fulfill their demand. After sometime the informant came to know that her husband is illicit relationship with his bhabhi and also left to co-habit with the petitioner due to that she was unable to be pregnant. On protest by the informant her husband and Jethani attempted to kill her. Anyhow the informant informed to her parents. On 15.11.2023 all accused persons assaulted to the informant and ousted her from marital home keeping her ornaments and other kind.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">The learned Counsel for the defence in his</p>	

Continued
25.03.2026

petition submitted that the petitioners have committed no offence and allegations against them are absolutely false and baseless and have falsely been implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that the petitioners had never filed applied bail petition earlier either in this Court or in the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. There is partition among the brothers of Raj Kumar and others on 05.11.2021 since then they are living separately and there is no concern about the family of informant. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent. As per above submission Ld. counsel for the petitioners prayed to grant A.B.P to the petitioners on bail.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail.

Heard both parties and perused the L.C.R as well as case diary. The petitioners have been alleged for committing offence under sections 115(2), 85, 351(2), 351(3) of B.N.S & ¾ D.P Act. From perusal of the case diary, it transpires that in para no. 2 re-statement of the informant is recorded who has supported the case of prosecution in para no. 4 statement of witness Lenin Singh and para no. 5 statement of witness Anita Singh have been recorded, they have also supported the case of prosecution. Notice under section 35(3) of B.N.S was served to the petitioner with direction on appeared in the Court. Para no. 49 of case diary transpires that Investigating Officer has already submitted charge-sheet against the petitioner vide Charge-sheet No. 22/2026 dated 25.02.2026. Para no. 19 of case diary transpires that the petitioner has one criminal antecedent as Nawada P.S Case No. 559/2023 dated 03.08.2023 under sections 406, 420 , 34 of I.P.C. Bantwara Nama has been filed on behalf of the petitioners showing that they have partitioned and residing outside of the house of informant of this case. The petitioners are in-laws.

Thus, considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances the petitioners namely **Raj Kumar and Manju Devi** stand allowed and in the event of their arrest or sur-

Continued
25.03.2026

render before the Court below within 30 days from the date of receiving of this order, they will be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the court below, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of B.N.S subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailor shall be the close relative/family members.
- (ii) Not to interfere in the marital dispute between informant and her husband unnecessary.

Dictated and Corrected by me

s/d

(Aditya Suman)

Additional Sessions Judge-XIII,
Bhojpur at Ara
25.03.2026

--	--	--