



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition No. 855/2026
(Arising out of Udwanthnagar P.S. Case No. 491 of 2024)

Rohit Kumar and One Anr

Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
25.03.2026	<p>1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, 1. Rohit Kumar and 2. Raunak Kumar Singh, who are in custody since 31.01.2026 in connection with Udwanthnagar P.S. case no. 491/2024 u/s 109, 3(5) of BNS and 27 of Arms Act, put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Sri Bishnudhar Pandey, learned counsel for petitioner, Sri. Vijay Kumar Singh on behalf of the informant and Sri. Sri Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state.</p> <p>3. The case of the prosecution is that informant Niraj Kumar Singh got his Fardbayan recorded on 01.11.2024, wherein he stated that on 31.10.2024 on the day of Diwali at about 7:00 PM petitioner No.1 Rohit Kumar and petitioner No.2 Raunak Kumar suddenly came into his house and petitioner Rohit Kumar opened fire using fire arms causing head injury to the</p>	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition No. 855/2026
(Arising out of Udwananagar P.S. Case No. 491 of 2024)

<p>Contd. 25.03.2026</p>	<p>informant. In the meanwhile co-accused reached there and further instigated Rohit to open more fire. Again petitioners Rohit and Raunak opened fire but this time no one suffered any injury.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that earlier petitioners preferred anticipatory bail vide A.B.P No. 689 of 2025 which was dismissed and thereafter petitioner preferred Criminal Miscellaneous No. 37575 of 2025 before the Hon'ble High Court which was also dismissed and thereafter no other bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioners have two criminal antecedents. It is argued that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case due to previous enmity. The petitioners are young man aged about 19 and 20 years respectively and they are in custody since 31.01.2026 and they undertake to comply with any condition as imposed by this court, hence, bail petition of petitioners may be allowed.</p>	
------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition No. 855/2026
(Arising out of Udwantnagar P.S. Case No. 491 of 2024)

Contd. 25.03.2026	<p>5. On the contrary learned P.P. as well as Ld. Counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioners and submitted that there is specific allegation of causing gun shot injury against the petitioners, hence, bail petition of petitioners is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard the rival submissions of both parties and perused the case. The injury report of the informant is on record and from perusal of the same it reveals that one entry wound was found on the right parietal bone caused by gun shot and opinion is reserved for want of X-ray report. The petitioners are named in FIR and there is specific allegation of causing gun shot injury which corroborates from injury report. The investigation of this case against the petitioners is still going on and charge-sheet has not been submitted till date. As per para-180 and 181 of the case diary the petitioners are also involved in two other cases i.e. Udwantnagar P.S. Case No. 281 of 2025 u/s 191(2), 190m 329(3), 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 352, 351(2) of BNS and Udwantnagar P.S. Case No. 23 of 2023 u/s 147, 341, 323, 307,</p>	
----------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition No. 855/2026
(Arising out of Udwananagar P.S. Case No. 491 of 2024)

Contd. 25.03.2026	504, 506 of IPC and 27 of Arms Act. 7. Considering the fact that the petitioners are the main assailants who caused gun shot injury to the informant, investigation of this case is still at initial stage, hence, at this stage bail petition of the petitioners namely 1. Rohit Kumar and 2. Raunak Kumar Singh is devoid of merits and same is dismissed . (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 25.03.2026	