



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 683 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Chandi P.S. Case No. 14 of 2026)**

Umesh Saw @ Umesh Pathak and One Anr

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
<b>09.04.2026</b>	<p>1. This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioners, namely, 1. Umesh Sah @ Umesh Sah and 2. Chandan Saw @ Chandan Kumar, who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Chandi P.S. Case F.I.R No. 14/2026 under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 110, 303(2), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of B.N.S., put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Pancham Kumar, learned counsel for petitioners as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, the learned P.P. for the State.</p> <p>3. It is the case of the prosecution that when informant was doing his work at his house then accused Chandan Sah @ Shukla Saw started abusing and on objection made by one Rajkumar and informant then all the FIR named accused persons mercilessly beaten one Rajkumar and informant and snatched golden chain from Rajkumar. It is alleged that Umesh Sah assaulted the informant by lathi on his</p>	



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 683 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Chandi P.S. Case No. 14 of 2026)**

**Contd.  
09.04.2026**

head due to which informant fell down and sustain head injury.

4. Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioners either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court prior to the present one and petitioner no.1 has clean antecedent and petitioner no.2 has a antecedent. It is argued that the petitioners are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. There is case and counter case between the parties vide Chandi P.S. Case No. 15 of 2026. The petitioners and informant are co-villager and allegation against the them is general and omnibus in nature and petitioner Umesh Saw @ Umesh Sah is senior citizen aged about 67 years. The petitioners are almost man of clean antecedent and they undertake to comply with any condition imposed by this court, hence, anticipatory bail petition of petitioners may be allowed.

5. On the contrary, learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners and submitted that petitioners mercilessly beaten the informant and one Rajkumar, hence, bail petition of petitioners is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed.

6. I have heard rival submissions of both



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 683 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Chandi P.S. Case No. 14 of 2026)**

**Contd.  
09.04.2026**

sides and perused the case record. The allegations against the petitioners that they assaulted informant and one Rajkumar. From perusal of injury report of injured Krishna Murari Singh shows a sharp cut of size 2"x1/2"x2"x1/2", bruise on left knee of size 2"x1/2" and nature of injury is simple in nature. There is no specific allegation of assault against the petitioner Chandan Saw and petitioner no. 1 is senior citizen aged about 67 years having clean antecedent. There is case and counter case between the parties vide Chandi P.S. Case No. 15 of 2026 in which informant side also sustain injury. The petitioners and informant are co-villagers/neighbours and free fight took place between both side due to trivial issue. The petitioners are man of clean antecedent and they undertake to comply with any condition as imposed by this court.

7. Considering the nature of allegations and also the fact that the petitioners and informant are co-villagers and petitioner no.1 is senior citizen aged about 67 years, bail petition of the petitioners 1. Umesh Sah @ Umesh Sah and 2. Chandan Saw @ Chandan Kumar is **allowed** and it is ordered that in the event of arrest/surrender they will be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bonds of



**COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA**

**A.B.P. No. 683 of 2026**

**(Arising out of Chandi P.S. Case No. 14 of 2026)**

<p><b>Contd.</b> <b>09.04.2026</b></p>	<p>Rs.10,000/-(Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below within four weeks of this order subject to the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) BNSS. Subject to the condition that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required and the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p>(Dictated &amp; corrected by me)</p> <p>sd/- ( Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 09.04.2026</p>	