



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition No. 1061/2026
(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 183 of 2026)

Krishna Yadav

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
04.04.2026	1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely Krishna Yadav, who is in custody since 11.03.2026 in connection with Nawada P.S. case no. 183/2026 u/s 190, 126(2), 191(2), 115(2), 118(1), 352, 351(2), 109(1) of B.N.S. put up for hearing. 2. Heard Sri Vinod Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for petitioner, Sri Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state. 3. Informant Santosh Yadav and injured Gorakh Yadav, Sumit Ranjan and Ramesh Yadav are from same family and accused persons are staying in the neighbourhood of informant and there are series of litigation pending between them and on the complaint of one of the family members of informant and accused persons hence, several cases lodged against family member of one another. It is case of the	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

Bail Petition No. 1061/2026

(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 183 of 2026)

Contd. 04.04.2026	prosecution that on 04.03.2026 at 9:30 A.M. when brother of informant was riding a motorcycle and cross in front of the house of the accused persons then accused persons in pre-planned manner waylaid brother of informant and started assaulting and again at 2:30 P.M. accused persons assaulting other family members of the informant. Alleged reasons of dispute is that accused persons were forcing informant and his family members for entry into compromise in F.I.R. No. 860 of 2023 and in the course of investigation injury report of injured Gorakh Yadav, Sumit Ranjan and Ramesh Yadav was collected injury of Sumit Ranjan was found grievous in nature, hence this bail petition. It is also matter of record that petitioner Krishna Yadav is not a man of clean antecedent and arrayed as an accused a many as seven cases including several case of Arms Act., it appears from perusal of record in para-3 of bail petition. 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in this case petitioner is falsely implicated and several cases on the complaint of family of	
------------------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

Bail Petition No. 1061/2026

(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 183 of 2026)

Contd. 04.04.2026	informant and family of accused persons filed against one another and family of informant are on inimical term with family of accused persons, hence accused persons has been falsely implicated in this case, though petitioner is not a man of clean antecedent, there is nothing on record to corroborate allegation made against accused persons by the informant and petitioner is in judicial custody since 11.03.2025, petitioner undertakes to comply any condition imposed by this Court, hence, bail petition of petitioner may be allowed. 5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted that in this case investigation is still pending and there is history of litigation between both the parties. Petitioner is not a man of clean antecedent and implicated as an accused in as many as seven cases, hence at this stage, bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and may be dismissed. 6. I have heard the rival submissions of both parties and perused the case record. It is clear from perusal of record that petitioner has been	
------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition No. 1061/2026
(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 183 of 2026)

Contd. 04.04.2026	implicated as an accused as many as seven cases in which he has been arrayed as an accused with Section 25, 26, 27 and 307 of Arms Act. On the alleged day of occurrence at 9:30 A.M. and as well as 2:30 P.M. accused persons in pre-planned manner armed with deadly weapon assaulted the informant and his family members and same is corroborated by injury report of Sumit Ranjan, Ramesh Kumar and Gorakh Yadav on record. Grievous injury of Sumit Ranjan is found on left eyebrow and left shoulder and left eyebrow is the vital part of the body, in this case investigation is still pending. Considering several criminal antecedent, there are genuine chances that petitioner is unduly influencing witnesses and may derailed in trail and prima facie case under Section 109 (1) of B.N.S, hence at this stage, bail petition of petitioner Krishna Yadav is devoid of merit and same is dismissed. (Dictated & corrected by me) Sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 04.04.2026	
------------------------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

Bail Petition No. 1061/2026

(arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 183 of 2026)
