

**IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE CUM SPECIAL
JUDGE SCHEDULE CASTE / SCHEDULE TRIBE ACT, BHOJPUR AT ARA
Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-671/2026.
(Arising out of Gidha P.S. Case No.19/2026)**

Rabi Kumar, aged about 19 years, S/o Srikant Ray, R/o Village- Balwan Tola, P.S.-
Doriganj, District- Saran(Chhapra).

.....Accused/Petitioner

Vs

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

ORDER

04.04.2026

This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused/petitioner namely Rabi Kumar who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Gidha P.S. Case No.-19/2026, registered U/s 126(2), 115, 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5), 110 and section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) of SC/ST Act.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence and he is falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner never applied for regular or anticipatory bail before your honour or before any other court. Therefore, A.B.P. of the petitioner may be allowed.

The Ld. Spl. P.P. has vehemently opposed the bail petition and says that the petitioner do not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail as not maintainable.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that informant was working as a labour supplier in Amrapali Cylinder Company Limited, situated at Kulharia (Pachaina), Gidha Road. On 15.01.2026, after completing his duty at about 5:05 PM, he was returning from work. Along with him were my two co-workers: Rajkumar Yadav and Putus Kumar. One Ravi Kumar also works in the same company. There had been a dispute with him in the company earlier. After duty hours at about 5:00 PM, when he was returning on a motorcycle along with his friends, the said Ravi Kumar, along with 8-10 unknown persons, was already lying in wait near a cold storage. As soon as I reached there, they started abusing me using caste-indicative derogatory words and assaulted him with iron rods and iron pipes. As a result of the assault, his head was fractured and started bleeding profusely, and he became unconscious. His two friends were also assaulted and injured. Thereafter, all the accused persons fled away. They snatched my gold chain from his neck, worth approximately ₹1,50,000, and also took away ₹10,000 cash.

Heard both the Ld. Counsel. Perused the entire case record and case diary. Upon perusal of case record, it transpires that police registered the case U/s 126(2), 115, 303(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5), 110 and section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s) of SC/ST Act. The petitioner is named in the FIR. From perusal of para-02 of case diary, informant in his restatement has supported the case of prosecution.

Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances, nature of allegation, seriousness and gravity of the offence and in view of section 18 of SC/ST Act, this anticipatory bail is dismissed as not maintainable.

Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioner is hereby **rejected.**

{ Dictated & Corrected by me }

Sd/-
Additional Sessions Judge-1st
Bhojpur at Ara
04.04.2026.