

In the court of Additional Sessions Judge-XIII, Bhojpur at Ara
A.B.P. No. 667 of 2026
(Arising out of Tiyar P.S Case No. 07 of 2026)
(Petition U/s 482 B.N.S)

Date	Order	Remark
18.03.2026	<p>In the matter of</p> <p>(i) Rehana Khatoon aged about 35 years</p> <p>W/o- Tahir Khan @ Farid Khan</p> <p>R/o Village- Uterdahan,</p> <p>P.S- Tiyar, Bhojpur</p> <p>At Present:- Ward No. 11, Bisen Tola, Jagdishpur</p> <p>P.S- Jagdishpur, Bhojpur</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Bihar</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Anticipatory bail petition along with power has been filed on behalf of the above named accused person in connection with Tiyar P.S Case No. 07 of 2026 registered for offences under Sections 103, 3(5) of B.N.S. The petition has been pressed by learned Counsel for the petitioner Sri. Sudama Singh, Advocate. Copy of the same has been served to Sri. Nagendra Prasad Singh A.P.P of this Court.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The prosecution story in short is that on 06.02.2026 at about 06:00 p.m while the informant's husband was praying at Mahavir Asthan in the meantime Dhudhali Mahto and Yogendra Mahto came there and started abusing and also assaulting to the informant's husband. On halla, informant went there and pacify the matter with the help of neighbour. She further alleged that on 07.02.2026 at about 10:00 O'clock while the informant was returning from natures call and going to her house then Rehana Khatoon, Dhudhali Mahto, Mukesh Kumar, Mira Devi started assaulting to the informant with lathi and danda. On halla, informant's husband came there to save her then Yogendra Mahto @ Ladki, Suraj Kumar, Dhudhali Mahto,</p>	

In the court of Additional Sessions Judge-XIII, Bhojpur at Ara
A.B.P. No. 667 of 2026
(Arising out of Tiyar P.S Case No. 07 of 2026)
(Petition U/s 482 B.N.S)

<p><u>Continued</u> 18.03.2026</p>	<p>Mukesh Kumar, Mira Devi, Saraswati Kumari started assaulting to the informant's husband with lathi and danda and also killed the informant's husband.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">The learned Counsel for the defence in his petition submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to previous enmity. It has further been submitted that the petitioner had never applied bail petition either in this Court or in the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. There is no allegation of assault against this petitioner. The petitioner has no concerned with the informant and she has not directed the accused persons to assault her. The petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence. The petitioner has no concerned with the co-accused persons. The petitioner is a house lady and she was not engaged in the alleged incident. As per above submission Ld. counsel for the petitioner prayed to grant anticipatory bail.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard both parties and perused the L.C.R as well as case diary. The petitioner has been alleged for committing offence under sections 103, 3(5) of B.N.S. From perusal of the case diary, it transpires that inquest report of deceased is mentioned in para no. 4 of case diary further place of occurrence is mentioned in para no. 7 & 8 of case diary. Para no. 10 statement of eye-witness Narendra Kumar, para no. 11 statement of eye-witness Urmila Kunwar, para no. 12 statement of eye-witness Basanti Devi, para no. 35 re-statement of the informant, para no. 36 statement of witness Sunita Devi, para no. 37 statement of witness Soni Devi, para no. 38 statement of witness</p>	
--	--	--

In the court of Additional Sessions Judge-XIII, Bhojpur at Ara
A.B.P. No. 667 of 2026
(Arising out of Tiyar P.S Case No. 07 of 2026)
(Petition U/s 482 B.N.S)

<p><u>Continued</u> 18.03.2026</p>	<p>Shushila Devi, para no. 39 statement of witness Chandan Singh, para no. 40 statement of witness Bablu Kumar and para no. 41 statement of witness Suman Devi have been recorded, they have also supported the case of prosecution. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner alongwith other co-accused persons killed the informant's husband. The petitioner is named in F.I.R and the allegation against the petitioner is heinous in nature.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Thus, considering the above mentioned facts and seriousness of offence. I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner as prayer for of accused Rehana Kha-toon is hereby rejected.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Dictated and corrected by me s/d (Aditya Suman) Additional Sessions Judge-XIII, Bhojpur at Ara 18.03.2026</p>	
--	---	--

**In the court of Additional Sessions Judge-XIII, Bhojpur at Ara
A.B.P. No. 667 of 2026
(Arising out of Tiyyar P.S Case No. 07 of 2026)
(Petition U/s 482 B.N.S)**
