

IN THE COURT OF IInd ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA
Anand Prasad @ Anand Kumar & Anr. Vs. State of Bihar
A.B.P. No.-662 of 2026
Town P. S. Case No. 112/2026
U/s - 135 of Electricity Act

Serial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

	<u>11-03-2026</u>	<p>The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of accused/ petitioners namely 1. Anand Prasad @ Anand Kumar 2. Md. Sonu apprehending their arrest in connection with the present case.</p> <p>The case of the prosecution, in brief is that the informant Jitendra Kumar gave a written application to the officer in-charge of Town Police Station stating therein that on 11.02.2026 on the basis of secret information regarding electricity theft, he conducted raid and found Anand Prasad was involved in electricity theft as his electricity was disconnected from 17.11.2025 due to non payment of electricity bill Rs. 1,19,875/- despite that he was using electricity illegally. The electricity theft by Anand Prasad caused loss of revenue of Rs.8,221/- to the South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd. Therefore, the total due amount of Electricity Bill is Rs.1,28,096/-. The petitioner Md. Sonu was also found to be involved in electricity theft as his electricity was disconnected from 23.08.2025 due to non payment of electricity bill Rs. 1,15,123/- despite that he was using electricity illegally. The electricity theft by Md. Sonu caused loss of revenue of Rs.5,527/- to the South Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd. Therefore, the total due amount of Electricity Bill is Rs.1,20,650/-.</p> <p>Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this false and concocted case. The petitioners are ready to deposit the loss amount of Electricity Department in eight installments. The petitioners have no criminal antecedent.</p> <p>Sh. Vimlesh Kumar Shrivastaw, Ld. Panel Advocate for Electricity has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.</p> <p>Arguments heard and record perused.</p> <p>From the perusal of the record, it transpires that the allegations against the petitioners are that above noted case has been registered u/s 135 of Electricity Act. As per allegations, the petitioner no.1 Anand Prasad @ Anand Kumar and petitioner no.2 Md. Sonu are liable to pay Rs. 1,28,096/- and Rs. 1,20,650/- respectively towards electricity</p>	
--	--------------------------	--	--

IN THE COURT OF IInd ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA
Anand Prasad @ Anand Kumar & Anr. Vs. State of Bihar
A.B.P. No.-662 of 2026
Town P. S. Case No. 112/2026
U/s - 135 of Electricity Act

Ser ial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

	<p><u>Conti.....</u> 11-03-2026</p>	<p>consumption charge. The petitioners are willing to pay the outstanding amount in installments.</p> <p>Considering the nature of offence and admission on behalf of the petitioners, I hereby direct petitioner no.1 Anand Prasad @ Anand Kumar and petitioner no.2 Md. Sonu to pay outstanding amount within eight months in eight installments. The petitioners are granted anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within 20 days from today upon furnishing bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each subject to the satisfaction of court concerned. The anticipatory bail petition is accordingly allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Dictated</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Sudhakar Pandey) IInd Addl. Sessions Judge Bhojpur at Ara 11.03.2026</p>	
--	--	---	--