

IN THE COURT OF IInd ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA
Sobрати Nat & Anr. Vs. State of Bihar
A.B.P. No.-643 of 2026
Jagdishpur P. S. Case No. 420/2025
U/ss -303(2) of B.N.S.

Serial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

	<u>13-03-2026</u>	<p>The present petition for anticipatory bail has been filed on behalf of accused/ petitioners^v namely 1. Sobрати Nat 2. Salamu Nat apprehending their arrest in connection with the present case.</p> <p>The case of the prosecution, in brief is that the informant Raj Kumar gave a written application to the officer in charge of Jagdishpur Police Station stating therein that on 03.12.2025, he slept away at 11:30 P.M. after taking meals and at that time all of his cattle were in the house. On 04.12.2025 at 04:00 A.M., when he visited the cattle shed and he observed that unknown persons breaking the lock stole away three buffaloes worth Rs. 2 lac. He searched for the stolen buffaloes from his level but could not find them.</p> <p>Learned counsel for petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. Petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. No occurrence did ever take place as alleged by the prosecution and the alleged date, time, manner, genesis etc. of occurrence is totally false and fabricated. There is no articles recovered from the possession of the petitioners. petitioner no.1 is old person and the petitioner no.2 is a labour and living out of state for livelihood.</p> <p>Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail.</p> <p>Arguments heard and record perused.</p> <p>From the perusal of the record, it transpires that the petitioners are not named in the FIR. The names of the petitioners have surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement accused namely Tarkeshwar Yadav in which he stated that the petitioners are involved in the business of cattle stealing and selling. It is mentioned in para no. 153 of the case diary that the petitioner no.1 having one criminal history Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 282/18 u/s 11(1)(9)/11(1)(D)/11(1)(K) Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, while petitioner no.2 having no criminal history. There is no direct evidence against the petitioner showing their involvement in their present case.</p>	
--	-------------------	---	--

IN THE COURT OF IInd ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR AT ARA
 Sobrati Nat & Anr. Vs. State of Bihar
 A.B.P. No.-643 of 2026
 Jagdishpur P. S. Case No. 420/2025
U/ss -303(2) of B.N.S.

Serial no.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the Court	Office action taken with date
1	2	3	4

<p><u>Conti.....</u> 13-03-2026</p>	<p>Therefore, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within one month from today upon furnishing bail bonds to the tune of Rs. 10000/- with two sureties of the like amount each subject to the satisfaction of court concerned. It is further directed that the petitioners shall co-operate during investigation and trial. The anticipatory bail petition of petitioners is accordingly allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Dictated <i>Sudhakar Pandey</i> (Sudhakar Pandey) IInd Addl. Sessions Judge Bhojpur at Ara 13.03.2026</p>	
---	---	--