

IN THE COURT OF MS. ARTI KUMARI SINGH,
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IVth, BHOJPUR AT ARA.
Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 642 of 2026
(Arising out of Agiaon P.S. Case No. 17 of 2026)
Manoj Kumar Vs. The State of Bihar

17-04-2026 The Present Anticipatory Bail Petition has been filed on behalf of the accused/ petitioner namely **Manoj Kumar** who is apprehending his arrest in the hands of police in connection with Agiaon P.S. Case No. 17 of 2026 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 137(2),140(3) of B.N.S

Heard Shri Ajay Kumar Tiwari learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Sri Ram Prasad Ram learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State on Bail Petition.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner/accused that no A.B.P or B.P. was ever filed or moved on behalf of this petitioner either before your honour or any superior court prior to this anticipatory bail petition.

The main allegation is that the present petitioner has forcefully misbehaved and confined in separate room of the accused victim Nitu Kumari and later on took her to Delhi and married to the victim illegally.

The main submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the present petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that no occurrence as alleged by the prosecution did ever take place. It has further been submitted that no case under section 137(2),140(3) of B.N.S is made out against the petitioner from the facts and circumstances of the case and allegation of forcefully abductment is ornamental one.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail petition and submitted that against the petitioner there is direct and serious allegations, so, he does not deserve to anticipatory bail.

I have heard both the parties and perused the FIR, case record along with case diary of this case which transpires that main allegation against the present petitioner mentioned in para no. 31 of the case diary that is statement under section 183 of B.N.S.S of the abducted victim girl Nitu Kumari, aged 15 years is that the present petitioner on behalf of false promise abducted the victim girl from Punjab National Bank Ara, and confined in a separate room At Danapur giving her an injection. The present petitioner confined her at Danapur in a room about two to three

days and then took her by train to Delhi. In Delhi the present petitioner misbehaved her and forcefully and illegally married to her. The accused petitioner made her video and made unlawfully physical relationship. After suffering such atrocities and with heavy pain she cried and warned to commit herself suicide. Then the current petitioner left her by train at Buxer Railway station. After communicating through mobile to her parents she arrived to Police Station and made the statement before A.S.I. Priti Kumari as above mentioned.

As per sections 180 and 183 of B.N.S.S supported the alleged occurrences against the petitioner.

Considering all these facts and seriousness of the alleged offence appears against the petitioner, I am not inclined to give the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner at this stage.

Accordingly, the present anticipatory bail prayer of the petitioner namely **Manoj Kumar** stands **rejected**.

Dictated and corrected by me

Sd/-

Additional Sessions Judge-IVth,
Bhojpur at Ara.
17.04.2026