



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

**B.P. No. 759/2026
(Arising out of Piro PS Case No. 390/2025)**

Punit Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
09.03.2026	<p>1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner namely, Punit Kumar, who is in judicial custody since 12.01.2026 in connection with Piro P.S. case no. 390/2025 u/s 137(2), 87 of BNS, put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Ms. Rinku Kumari, Learned Counsel for petitioner as well as Sri Rana Pratap Singh, learned P.P. for the state.</p> <p>3. As per written complaint the present FIR has been lodged as per which the prosecution case is that on 04.12.2025 at about 07:30 morning Madhu Kumari, the daughter of informant went to appear in examination of BA Part IIIrd at Bikramganj, but, when she not returned, then the informant and others tried to search Madhu Kumari but got not any information about her daughter. It has been alleged that there is love affair between the daughter of informant and Punit Kumar</p>	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
B.P. No. 759/2026
(Arising out of Piro PS Case No. 390/2025)

<p>Contd. 09.03.2026</p>	<p>(petitioner). The informant went to ask about her daughter to Punit Kumar and asked about Punit Kumar then his family members replied that the daughter of informant eloped with Punit Kumar.</p> <p>4. Ld. counsel for the petitioner submitted that earlier no other bail petition has been filed or pending on behalf of petitioner before this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court. Ld. counsel further submitted that the victim and the petitioner is major and submitted the copy of educational certificates of victim and further submitted that the victim and the petitioner have been solemnized marriage and in support of his submission submitted the photograph and a petition signed by several witnesses. The petitioner is ready to comply with any condition imposed by this Court, hence, bail of the petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>5. On the contrary Ld. Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the bail plea submitted that the bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and the same should be dismissed.</p> <p>6. I have heard the rival submission of both</p>
--------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

**B.P. No. 759/2026
(Arising out of Piro PS Case No. 390/2025)**

<p>Contd. 09.03.2026</p>	<p>parties, perused the case record in this case minutely and the documents submitted by the Ld. counsel for the petitioner. It transpires from perusal of the documents submitted by Ld. Counsel that the date of birth of victim Madhu Kumari is 11.04.2004 and the victim is a major girl, moreover, as per the petition signed by Ward Member, Counselor and eight witnesses in which it has been mentioned that the victim and this petitioner has solemnized marriage in Arya Samaj Sanstha on 16.12.2025 at Delhi. Charge-sheet against the petitioner has been filed by the police, so, there is no chance of unduly influencing the witnesses or tampering the evidences. Petitioner is a man of clean antecedent.</p> <p>7. Considering all these above discussed facts, the judicial custody and clean antecedent of petitioner and mainly the fact that the victim and petitioner is major and solemnized marriage with each other, the bail prayer of petitioner namely, Punit Kumar is allowed and he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court below subject to</p>	
-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
B.P. No. 759/2026
(Arising out of Piro PS Case No. 390/2025)

<p>Contd. 09.03.2026</p>	<p>the conditions that petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected, and that petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Dictated & corrected by me) sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 09.03.2026</p>	
--------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara

B.P. No. 759/2026
(Arising out of Piro PS Case No. 390/2025)
