

**IN THE COURT OF 1st ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE CUM SPECIAL
JUDGE SCHEDULE CASTE / SCHEDULE TRIBE ACT, BHOJPUR AT ARA**

Bail Petition No.757/2026.

(Arising out of Sandesh P.S. Case No.265/2025)

Ramesh Yadav @ Ramesh Kumar, aged about 22 years, S/o Harendra Singh.
R/o Vill- Ramashandh, P.S.- Sandesh, District- Bhojpur.

.....Accused/Petitioner

Vs

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

ORDER

09.03.2026

The bail petition filed by Ld. Counsel on behalf of accused/petitioner namely Ramesh Yadav @ Ramesh Kumar who is in custody since 25.02.2026 in connection with Sandesh P.S. Case No. 265/2025, registered U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and section 3(1)(r)(s)(w), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (POA) Act.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has not committed any offence and he is falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner had applied for anticipatory bail vide A.B.P. No.3375/2025 which was withdrawn and the petitioner has never applied for regular bail in this learned court or in the Hon'ble High Court, Patna and no bail petition of the petitioner is pending in any court. The petitioner is in judicial custody since 25.02.2026. The petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. No case u/s SC/ST Act is made out as the P.O. is not public. The medical evidence does not support the prosecution case. No name of any witnesses is given in the FIR. Hence, prayed to release the petitioner on bail.

The Ld. Spl. P.P. has strongly opposed the prayer for grant of bail and submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are of very serious nature. Hence, he has prayed to reject the prayer for grant of bail.

Ld. counsel for Informant vehemently opposed the bail petition and submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is direct and specific in nature. Hence, he has prayed to reject the prayer for grant of bail.

As per FIR, the prosecution story, in brief, is that on 21.10.2025 at about 07:30 PM Ramesh Yadav, Kallu Yadav, Vishal Yadav and Niraj Yadav abused informant using caste based words. They assaulted him by lathi-danda. In the meantime, Ramesh

Yadav assaulted him by means of iron rods which causes him injuries. Niraj Yadav snatched golden locket from informant's neck. Thereafter, they fled away.

Heard both the Ld. Counsel. Perused the case record along with case diary. Upon perusal of case record, it transpires that police registered the case U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and section 3(1)(r)(s)(w), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (POA) Act against the petitioner and other co-accused persons. The petitioner is named in FIR. From perusal of FIR, it is alleged against the petitioner that he assaulted informant. From perusal of para-30 of case diary, injury report of injured/informant Kundan Kumar wherein injuries are simple in nature. As per para-16 of case diary, the petitioner has no criminal antecedent. The petitioner is in custody since 25.02.2026.

Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances, nature, his clean criminal antecedent and period of custody, this court is inclined to **allow** the bail of the accused/petitioner. Accordingly, the bail petition of the petitioner is hereby allowed on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the court with further condition as follows:-

- I. One of the bailor must be a family member/close relative of the petitioner.
- II. The petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with evidences, failing which the prosecution shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds.

{Dictated & Corrected by me}

Sd/-
Additional Sessions Judge-1st
Bhojpur at Ara
09.03.2026.