



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition No. 762/2026
(Arising out of Narayanpur P.S Case FIR No. 05/2026)

Manish Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
09.03.2026	<p>1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, Manish Kumar, who is in custody since 16.01.2026 in connection with Narayanpur P.S. Case FIR No. 05/2026 u/s 25(1-b)A, 26, 35 of Arms Act, put up for hearing.</p> <p>2. Heard Shri Vivekanand Priyadarshi, Learned Counsel for petitioner as well as Shri Rana Pratap Singh, Learned P.P. for the state.</p> <p>3. As per the case of the prosecution that the present FIR has been lodged at the instance of the informant ASI Dharmendra Kumar alleging therein that on 15.01.2026 at about 16:45 PM, he along with other police personnel was on patrolling and raid and at about 21:40 PM he received a secret information that two persons near Baruna Patrol pump was talking about arms. The informant informed his Superior Officer and left for Baruna Patrol pump and saw two persons riding on a motorcycle and seeing police party tried to flee but was apprehended and they disclosed their name as</p>	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition No. 762/2026
(Arising out of Narayanpur P.S Case FIR No. 05/2026)

Contd. 09.03.2026	<p>Manish Kumar and Harendra Sah (petitioner herein). Both the accused persons were searched and from possession of accused co-accused Manish Kumar a country made Katta was recovered and nothing incriminating was recovered from the possession of the petitioner Harendra Sah.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that no bail petition of any nature has been or is pending on behalf of the petitioner either in this Sessions Division or before the Hon'ble High Court and petitioner is man of clean antecedent. It is argued that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. Nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner rather the same is planted one by the informant with some ulterior motive and no independent witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution. The petitioner having clean antecedent is in custody since 16.01.2026, hence, bail petition of petitioner may be allowed.</p> <p>6. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the bail petition of petitioner and submitted a country made katta was recovered from the petitioner and the petitioner was also</p>	
------------------------------------	---	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, Bhojpur, Ara
Bail Petition No. 762/2026
(Arising out of Narayanpur P.S Case FIR No. 05/2026)

Contd. 09.03.2026	<p>apprehended at the place of occurrence, hence, bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and should be dismissed.</p> <p>7. I have heard rival submissions of both sides and perused the record. Petitioner is FIR named accused person and was traveling on motorcycle along with co-accused Harendra Sah and from the possession of petitioner Manish Kumar a country made Katta was recovered The petitioner is a young boy aged about 19 years having clean antecedent and presently.</p> <p>8. Considering the fact that petitioner is merely 19 years old boy of clean antecedent and the fire arm recovered from his possession, the bail petition of petitioner Manish Kumar is dismissed with observation that after completion of investigation / filing of charge-sheet against petitioner, the petitioner may renew his prayer of bail.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Dictated & corrected by me)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 09.03.2026</p>	