



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition no. 748/2026
(Arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 793 of 2025)

Lalan Yadav @ Lalan Kumar Yadav

Petitioner

Versus

State of Bihar

Opp. Party

Date of order or proceeding	Order with signature of the court	Office action taken with date
23.03.2026	1. This bail petition has been filed on behalf of petitioner, namely, Lalan Yadav @ Lalan Kumar Yadav who is in custody since 19.02.2026 in connection with Ara Nawada P.S. case no. 793/2025 u/ss. 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2), 3(5) of BNS and 27 of Arms Act, put up for hearing. 2. Heard Shri Dev Shakti Swaran, Learned Counsel for petitioner as well as the learned P.P Sri. Rana Pratap Singh for the state. 3. On the written complaint of Dilip Kumar Pandey police party arrived on the place of occurrence and this FIR was lodged against Lalan Yadav and two unknown persons for abusing, threatening and firing two gunshot and assaulted with butt of pistol under the left eye. In the course of investigation it has come on record that petitioner has been arrayed as an accused in two more cases i.e. Ara Nawada P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 143 of 2025 and P.S. F.I.R. Case No. 792 of 2025. 4. Learned counsel for petitioner submitted that earlier applied for anticipatory bail vide ABP	



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition no. 748/2026

(Arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 793 of 2025)

Contd. 23.03.2026	No. 124/26 which was rejected by this Court and no bail petition of any nature has been filed or is pending on behalf of the petitioner either before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court prior to the present one. It is argued that the petitioner is innocent, has committed no offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. After completion of investigation chargesheet has been filed. The petitioner is in custody since 19.02.2026, hence, bail petition of the petitioner may be allowed. 5. On the contrary learned P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that petitioner has been arrayed as an accused in two more cases of similar nature under Arms Act and various sections of BNS, hence bail petition of petitioner is devoid of merit and same should be dismissed. 6. I have heard the the rival submission of both the parties and perused the record. After completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed. It appears from the perusal of injury report only scratch was found over the face and complaint of pain and tenderness in skull. Petitioner is in judicial custody since 19.02.2026. Considering period of custody and nature of injury, in addition to that charge-sheet has been filed and there is no chance of either tampering	
----------------------	--	--



COURT OF DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, BHOJPUR, ARA

Bail Petition no. 748/2026

(Arising out of Ara Nawada P.S. Case No. 793 of 2025)

Contd. 23.03.2026	with evidence or influencing witnesses. 7. Considering period of custody and nature of injury, after completion of investigation charge-sheet has been filed, bail petition of the petitioner, namely, Lalan Yadav @ Lalan Kumar Yadav is allowed and he is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail-bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each subject to the condition that petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which he is accused, or suspected, of the commission of which he is suspected, and the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or before any police officer or tamper with the evidence. Any observation made herein will be inconsequential for further proceeding. (Dictated & corrected by me) sd/- (Purushottam Mishra) Sessions Judge. 23.03.2026	