

**IN THE COURT OF 1<sup>st</sup> ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE CUM SPECIAL  
JUDGE SCHEDULE CASTE / SCHEDULE TRIBE ACT, BHOJPUR AT ARA  
Anticipatory Bail Petition No.-615/2026.  
(Arising out of Aayar P.S. Case No.06/2026)**

1. Rabina Yadav @ Rabin Kumar, aged about 19 years, S/o Upendra Singh.
2. Shekhar Yadav @ Raj Shekhar Singh, aged about 19 years, S/o Shyam Bihari Singh.
3. Ritesh Yadav @ Rayider @ Ritesh Kumar, aged about 19 years, S/o Mahesh Singh.

All above are R/o Vill- Kusumaha, P.S.- Aayar, District- Bhojpur.

4. Mohit Keshari @ Mohit Kumar Keshari, aged about 20 years, S/o Sunil Kumar Keshari, R/o Vill- Barnaw, P.S.- Aayar, District- Bhojpur

.....Accused/Petitioners

Vs

The State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

**ORDER**

**12.03.2026**

This anticipatory bail petition filed on behalf of accused/petitioners namely Rabina Yadav @ Rabin Kumar, Shekhar Yadav @ Raj Shekhar Singh, Ritesh Yadav @ Rayider @ Ritesh Kumar and Mohit Keshari @ Mohit Kumar Keshari who are apprehending their arrest in connection with Aayar P.S. Case No.- 06/2026, registered U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 3(5) and section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST Act.

Ld. Counsel on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have not committed any offence and they are falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner never applied for anticipatory bail or regular bail either before this learned court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna prior to this bail petition. The petitioners have clean antecedent. No occurrence ever did take place as alleged by prosecution and alleged origin, manner, place and time of occurrence are false. Therefore, A.B.P. of the petitioner may be allowed.

The Ld. Spl. P.P. has vehemently opposed the bail petition and says that the petitioners do not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail as not maintainable.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that on 22.01.2026 at about 07:00 PM, while informant was returning home after studying at the library. In the meantime,

District & Additional Sessions Judge-1<sup>st</sup>.

informant saw that accused persons Mohit Keshari, Bitan Yadav, Rabina Yadav, Ritesh Yadav and Shekhar Yadav assaulted one Gulshan Kumar with a belt, iron rod, chhanota. When informant tried to save him, accused persons abused by taking caste name chamar-siyar and assaulted him which causes injury. Thereafter, he fell down and treated at Jagdishpur Hospital.

Heard both the Ld. Counsel. Perused the entire case record and case diary. Upon perusal of case record, it transpires that police registered the case U/s 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 3(5) and section 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST Act. The petitioners are named in the FIR. From perusal of the entire case diary, informant and witnesses have supported the prosecution story mentioned in para-02, 03 and 04 of the case diary respectively.

Considering the above mentioned facts and circumstances, nature of allegation, seriousness and gravity of the offence and in view of section 18 of SC/ST Act, this anticipatory bail is dismissed as not maintainable.

Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition of the petitioners is hereby **rejected**.

{ Dictated & Corrected by me }

Sd/-  
Additional Sessions Judge-1<sup>st</sup>  
Bhojpur at Ara  
12.03.2026